arXiv:1111.2372v3 [math.AG] 3 Sep 2012

Galois coverings of moduli spaces of curves and loci of curves with symmetry

Marco Boggi

September 4, 2012

Abstract

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$, for $2g-2+n>0$, be the stack of genus g, stable algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}$, endowed with n unordered marked points.

In [15], Looijenga introduced the notion of Prym level structures in order to construct smooth projective Galois coverings of the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

In §2 of this paper, we introduce the notion of *Looijenga level structure* which generalizes Looijenga construction and provides a tower of Galois coverings of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ equivalent to the tower of all geometric level structures over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$.

In §3, Looijenga level structures are interpreted geometrically in terms of moduli of curves with symmetry. A byproduct of this characterization is a simple criterion for their smoothness. As a consequence of this criterion, it is shown that Looijenga level structures are smooth under very mild hypotheses.

The second part of the paper, from §4, deals with the problem of describing the D–M boundary of Looijenga level structures. In §6, a description is given of the nerve of the D–M boundary of abelian level structures. In §7, it is shown how this construction can be used to "approximate" the nerve of Looijenga level structures. These results are then applied to elaborate a new approach to the congruence subgroup problem for the Teichmüller modular group along the lines of [6].

MSC2010: 14H10, 14H15, 14H30, 32G15, 30F60.

1 Level structures over moduli of curves

In this first section we give a preliminary exposition of the theory of level structures over moduli of curves, mostly needed in order to fix notation. So, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, for $2g-2+n>0$, be the stack of n–pointed, genus g, stable algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}$. It is a regular connected proper D–M stack over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $3g-3+n$, and it contains, as an open substack, the stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of n–pointed, genus g, smooth algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}$. We will keep the same notations to denote the respective underlying analytic and topological stacks.

There is a natural action of the symmetric group Σ_n on the n labels which mark the curves parametrized by the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. This induces an action of Σ_n on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ which is stack-theoretically free. The quotient by this action is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ and is the stack of genus g, stable algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}$, endowed with n unordered marked points. The open substack parametrizing smooth curves is then denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$.

Let $S_{g,n}$ be an n-punctured, genus g Riemann surface. Then, the universal cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, the Teichmüller space $T_{g,n}$, is the stack of n–pointed, genus g, smooth complex analytic curves $(\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{U}, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ endowed with a topological trivialization

$$\Phi\colon\ S_{g,n} \times \mathscr{U} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathscr{E} \smallsetminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} s_i(\mathscr{U})$$

over $\mathscr{U}$, where two such trivializations are considered equivalent when they are homotopic over $\mathscr{U}$. We then denote by $(\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{U}, s_1, \ldots, s_n, \Phi)$ the corresponding object of $T_{g,n}$ or, when $\mathscr{U}$ is just one point, simply by (E, Φ).

From the existence of Kuranishi families, it follows that the complex analytic stack $T_{g,n}$ is representable by a complex manifold (cf. [2], for more details on this approach). Then, it is not hard to prove that the complex manifold $T_{g,n}$ is contractible and that the natural map of complex analytic stacks $T_{g,n} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ is a universal cover. Its deck transformation group is described as follows.

Let $\mathrm{Hom}^+(S_{g,n})$ be the group of orientation preserving self-homeomorphisms of $S_{g,n}$ and by $\mathrm{Hom}^0(S_{g,n})$ the subgroup consisting of homeomorphisms homotopic to the identity. The mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ is defined to be the group of homotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $S_{g,n}$ which preserve the orientation:

$$\Gamma_{g,[n]} := \mathrm{Hom}^+(S_{g,n}) \big/ \mathrm{Hom}^0(S_{g,n}),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_0(S_{g,n})$ is the connected component of the identity in the topological group of homeomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}^+(S_{g,n})$. This group then is the deck transformation group of the covering $T_{g,n} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$. There is a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to \Gamma_{g,n} \to \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to \Sigma_n \to 1,$$

where Σ_n denotes the symmetric group on the set of punctures of $S_{g,n}$ and $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is the deck transformation group of the covering $T_{g,n} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

There is a natural way to define homotopy groups for topological D–M stacks, as done, for instance, by Noohi in [19] and [20]. Therefore, the choice of a point $a = [C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ and a homeomorphism $\phi\colon\ S_{g,n} \to C$ identifies the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}, a)$ with the Teichmüller modular group $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$.

The following notation will turn out to be useful later. For a given oriented Riemann surface S of negative Euler characteristic, let us denote by $\Gamma(S)$ the mapping class group of S and by $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S)$, respectively, the D–M stack of smooth complex curves homeomorphic to S and its D–M compactification. In particular, $\Gamma_{g,[n]} := \Gamma(S_{g,n})$, $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]} := \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_{g,n})$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]+1}$ be the moduli stack of genus g, stable algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}$, endowed with n unordered labels and one distinguished label P_{n+1}. The morphism $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]+1} {\to} \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, induced by forgetting P_{n+1}, is naturally isomorphic to the universal n-punctured, genus g curve $p\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$. Since p is a Serre fibration and $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}) = \pi_2(T_{g,n}) = 0$, there is a short exact sequence on fundamental groups

$$1 \to \pi_1(\mathscr{C}_a, \tilde{a}) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{C}, \tilde{a}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}, a) \to 1,$$

where $\tilde{a}$ is a point in the fiber $\mathscr{C}_a$. By a standard argument this defines a monodromy representation:

$$\rho_{g,[n]}\colon\ \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}, a) \to \operatorname{Out}(\pi_1(\mathscr{C}_a, \tilde{a})),$$

called the *universal monodromy representation.*

Let us then fix a homeomorphism $\phi\colon\ S_{g,n} \to \mathscr{C}_a$ and let $\Pi_{g,n}$ be the fundamental group of $S_{g,n}$ based in $\phi^{-1}(\tilde{a})$. Then, the representation $\rho_{g,[n]}$ is identified with the faithful representation $\Gamma_{g,[n]} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{g,n})$, induced by the action, modulo homotopy, of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ on the Riemann surface $S_{g,n}$.

Let us give $\Pi_{g,n}$ the standard presentation:

$$\Pi_{g,n} = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g, u_1, \ldots, u_n | \prod_{i=1}^{g} [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \cdot u_n \cdots u_1 \rangle,$$

where u_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is a simple loop around the puncture P_i. For $n \geq 1$, let $A(g, n)$ be the group of automorphisms of $\Pi_{g,n}$ which fix the set of conjugacy classes of all u_i. For $n = 0$, let instead $A(g, 0)$ be the image of $A(g, 1)$ in the automorphism group of $\Pi_g := \Pi_{g,0}$. Finally, let $I(g, n)$ be the group of inner automorphisms of $\Pi_{g,n}$. With these notations, the Nielsen realization Theorem says that the representation $\rho_{g,[n]}$ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma_{g,[n]} \cong A(g, n)/I(g, n)$.

In this paper, a level structure $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ is a finite, connected, Galois, étale covering of the stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ (by étale covering, we mean here an étale, surjective, representable morphism of algebraic stacks), therefore it is also a regular D–M stack. The *level* associated to $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ is the finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma^\lambda \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{M}^\lambda, a')$ of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$.

A level structure $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda'}$ *dominates* $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$, if there is a natural étale morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda'} \to \mathcal{M}^\lambda$ or, equivalently, $\Gamma^{\lambda'} \leq \Gamma^\lambda$. To stress the fact that $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ is a level structure over $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}(S)$), we will sometimes denote it by $\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{g,[n]}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}(S)^\lambda$).

For a given level Γ^λ of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$, the intersection $\Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_{g,n}$ is also a level of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ which we denote by $\Gamma^\lambda_{g,n}$. The corresponding level structure is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{g,n}$. Equivalently, the level structure $\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{g,n}$ is the pull-back over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ of the level structure $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$.

The most natural way to define levels is provided by the universal monodromy representation $\rho_{g,[n]}$. In general, for a subgroup $K \leq \Pi_{g,n}$, which is invariant under $A(g, n)$ (in such case, we simply say that K is *invariant*), let us define the representation:

$$\rho_K\colon\ \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{g,n}/K),$$

whose kernel we denote by Γ^K. When K has finite index in $\Pi_{g,n}$, then Γ^K has finite index in $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ and is called the *geometric level* associated to K. The corresponding level structure is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^K$.

Of particular interest are the levels defined by the kernels of the representations:

$$\rho_{(m)}\colon\ \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/m)), \quad \text{for } m \geq 2.$$

They are denoted by $\Gamma(m)$ and called *abelian levels of order* m. The corresponding level structures are then denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{(m)}$.

A result by Serre asserts that an automorphism of a smooth curve of genus ≥ 1 acting trivially on its first homology group with $\mathbb{Z}/m$ coefficients, for $m \geq 3$, is trivial (cf. Lemma 2.9, Ch. XVII, [2]). This implies that, for $g \geq 1$, any level structure $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$, which dominates an abelian level structure $\mathcal{M}^{(m)}$, with $m \geq 3$, is representable in the category of algebraic varieties.

2 Looijenga level structures

There is another way to define levels of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ which turn out to be more tractable in a series of applications. They were introduced by Looijenga in [15]. Here, we generalize his definition and, in Section 3, we will give a geometric interpretation of the construction.

The idea, which underlies this construction and is more or less implicit in Looijenga's definition, is that the information present in the algebraic fundamental group of an hyperbolic curve can be recovered from the first homology groups of all its finite coverings with the induced Galois actions. This idea was further developed by Mochizuki [17] in his proof of the anabelian conjecture for hyperbolic curves. Here, this idea is fully implemented to the study of Galois coverings of moduli spaces of curves.

Let K be a normal finite index subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and let $p_K\colon\ S_K \to S_{g,n}$ be the étale Galois covering with deck transformation group G_K, associated to such subgroup. There is then a natural monomorphism $G_K \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S_K)$ and the quotient of the normalizer $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ by G_K identifies with a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$. If we assume, moreover, that K is invariant for the action of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$, then any homeomorphism $f\colon\ S_{g,n} \to S_{g,n}$ lifts to a homeomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon\ S_K \to S_K$. So, there is a natural short exact sequence:

$$1 \to G_K \to N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \to \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to 1. \qquad (1)$$

Let us assume that K is a *proper* invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$. Then, the covering $p_K\colon\ S_K \to S_{g,n}$ ramifies non-trivially over all punctures of $S_{g,n}$. From Hurwitz's formula, for $g \geq 1$ or $n \geq 4$, it follows that the genus of the compact Riemann surface $\overline{S}_K$ obtained filling in the punctures of S_K is at least one. For $m \geq 2$, let us then consider the natural representation $\rho_{(m)}\colon\ \Gamma(S_K) \to \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))$.

Remark 2.1. For $m \geq 3$ and $m = 0$, the restriction of $\rho_{(m)}$ to G_K is faithful. For $m = 2$, the restriction $\rho_{(m)}|_{G_K}$ is faithful unless G_K contains a hyperelliptic involution ι (cf. Lemma 2.9, Ch. XVII, [2]), in which case ι generates the kernel of $\rho_{(m)}|_{G_K}$. In particular, for $g \geq 1$ or, for $g = 0$, if $[\Pi_{0,n} : K] > 2$, the group G_K does not contain a hyperelliptic involution and so the restriction of $\rho_{(2)}$ to G_K is also faithful.

Let us assume that the restriction $\rho_{(m)}|_{G_K}$ is faithful and let us denote by G_K its image. For $m \geq 2$, there is a natural representation:

$$\rho_{K,(m)}\colon\ \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to N_{\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))}(G_K)\Big/ G_K.$$

Let us denote the kernel of $\rho_{K,(m)}$ by $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ and call it the *Looijenga level* associated to the finite index invariant subgroup K of $\Pi_{g,n}$. The corresponding level is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)}$.

Geometric levels can also be described in terms of the exact sequence (1). The geometric level Γ^K associated to K is indeed the set of elements of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ which admit a lift, through the natural epimorphism $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{g,[n]}$, to the centralizer $Z_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$. Thus, there is a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to Z(G_K) \to Z_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \to \Gamma^K \to 1, \qquad (2)$$

where $Z(G_K)$ is the center of the group G_K. If this center is trivial, the geometric level Γ^K is then identified with the centralizer of G_K inside $\Gamma(S_K)$.

Let us observe that a normal subgroup H of $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ such that $H \cap G_K = \{1\}$ centralizes G_K, since, for $x \in H$ and $y \in G_K$, it holds $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in H \cap G_K$. Therefore, if $Z(G_K) = \{1\}$, then the centralizer $Z_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of the normalizer $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ which intersects trivially the group G_K.

For a given finite index invariant subgroup $K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}$, the subgroup $K' := [K,K]K^m$ of K, generated by commutators and m-th powers, is invariant and of finite index in $\Pi_{g,n}$ and the associated geometric level $\Gamma^{K'}$ is contained in the Looijenga level $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$. Conversely, it holds:

Theorem 2.2. *For $2g-2+n>0$, let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$. Then, for $m \geq 3$, or, for $m \geq 2$, if the quotient group G_K does not contain a hyperelliptic involution (cf. Remark 2.1), there is an inclusion of levels $\Gamma^{K,(m)} \trianglelefteq \Gamma^K$.*

Proof. The action of the group G_K on the surface S_K induces, for $m \geq 2$, a faithful action on $H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))$. Let us denote by $\tilde{\Gamma}^{K,(m)}$ the kernel of the natural representation

$$\tilde{\rho}_{K,(m)}\colon\ N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \to \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m)).$$

It follows that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{K,(m)} \cap G_K = \{1\}$ and then $\tilde{\Gamma}^{K,(m)}$ is contained in the centralizer of G_K. Hence, the Looijenga level $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ is contained in the geometric level Γ^K.

□

Corollary 2.3. *For any fixed integer $m \geq 2$, the set of Looijenga levels $\{\Gamma^{K,(m)}\}_{K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}}$ forms an inverse system of finite index normal subgroups of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ which defines the same profinite topology as the tower of all geometric levels $\{\Gamma^K\}_{K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}}$.*

Corollary 2.3 vindicates the claim we made at the beginning of this section. For instance, in the study of the congruence topology of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$, Looijenga levels can replace geometric levels. In Section 7, the utility of this approach will emerge more clearly.

In the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, the group G_K acts faithfully on the homology group $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. So, the intersection of G_K with the level $\Gamma(m)$ of $\Gamma(S_K)$ is trivial and, by definition of the Looijenga level $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$, the natural epimorphism $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{g,[n]}$ induces, for all $m \geq 2$, an isomorphism:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{K,(m)} = N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \cap \Gamma(m) \cong \Gamma^{K,(m)}. \qquad (3)$$

More explicitly, an $f \in \Gamma^{K,(m)}$ has a unique lift $\tilde{f}\colon S_K \to S_K$ which acts trivially on $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, for every $m \geq 2$, the Looijenga level $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ has an associated Torelli representation:

$$t_{K,(m)}\colon \Gamma^{K,(m)} \to Z_{\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}))}(G_K).$$

A natural question is whether the image of $t_{K,(m)}$ has finite index in its codomain for any invariant finite index subgroup K of $\Pi_{g,n}$ as above, and $m \geq 2$. This question was addressed positively by Looijenga in [16], for $n = 0$ and the levels associated to the subgroup Π^2 (the so-called Prym levels).

3 Level structures and loci of curves with symmetry

In this section, we give a geometric construction which describes Looijenga level structures in terms of moduli of curves with a given group of automorphisms and of abelian level structures. This has some immediate applications to the problem of describing locally the D–M compactification of a Looijenga level structure.

As above, let K be an invariant finite index subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and let $p_K\colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$ be the étale Galois covering with deck transformation group G_K, associated to such subgroup. Let C be a smooth n-punctured, genus g curve. Since the subgroup K is invariant for the action of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$, it determines the same finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(C)$ for any given marking $S_{g,n} \to C$. Let then $C_K \to C$ be the corresponding Galois covering.

A marking $\phi\colon S_K \xrightarrow{\sim} C_K$ identifies the group of automorphims $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$ of the curve C_K with a finite subgroup of $\Gamma(S_K)$. In this way, the Galois group of the covering $C_K \to C$ is identified with some conjugate of G_K in $\Gamma(S_K)$.

The theory of Riemann surfaces with symmetry (cf. [11]) describes the locus of $\mathcal{M}(S_K)$, parametrizing curves which have a group of automorphisms conjugated to G_K as an irreducible closed substack $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ of $\mathcal{M}(S_K)$ with at most normal crossing singularities, whose normalization $\mathcal{M}'_{G_K}$ is a smooth G_K-gerbe over the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$. In particular, there is a natural short exact sequence:

$$1 \to G_K \to \pi_1(\mathcal{M}'_{G_K}) \to \Gamma_{g,[n]} \to 1.$$

A connected and analytically irreducible component of the inverse image of $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$, via the covering map $T(S_K) \to \mathcal{M}(S_K)$, is obtained as the fixed point set T_{G_K} for the action of the subgroup $G_K < \Gamma(S_K)$ on the Teichmüller space $T(S_K)$.

The submanifold T_{G_K} of the Teichmüller space $T(S_K)$ is described as the set (D, ϕ) of Teichmüller points of $T(S_K)$ such that the group of automorphisms of the curve D contains a subgroup which is topologically conjugated to G_K by means of the homeomorphism $\phi\colon S_K \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ (cf. [11], Theorem A and B). There is then a natural isomorphism of complex manifolds $T_{G_K} \cong T_{g,n}$. From this description, it follows that there is an isomorphism

$$N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{M}'_{G_K})$$

and then we recover the short exact sequence (1) of Section 2.

The short exact sequence (2) of Section 2 and the subsequent remarks have a geometric interpretation as well. If the center of G_K is trivial, then the geometric level structure $\mathcal{M}^K \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ is the universal trivializing covering for the gerbe $\mathcal{M}'_{G_K} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$, i.e. the pull-back of this gerbe along a morphism $X \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ is the trivial G_K-gerbe over X if and only if this morphism factors through the geometric level structure $\mathcal{M}^K \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$.

In the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, the group G_K acts faithfully on the homology group $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. Therefore, independently from the triviality of the center of G_K, the pull-back of the gerbe $\mathcal{M}'_{G_K} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ along the Looijenga level structure $\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ is the trivial G_K-gerbe over $\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)}$.

In conclusion, the isomorphism (3) of Section 2 yields a simple geometric interpretation of Loojenga level structures. It follows from (3) that the Looijenga level structure $\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)}$ is isomorphic to any of the irreducible components of the pull-back of the abelian level structure $\mathcal{M}(S_K)^{(m)} \to \mathcal{M}(S_K)$ over the natural morphism $\mathcal{M}'_{G_K} \to \mathcal{M}(S_K)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & \mathcal{M}(S_K)^{(m)} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{M}'_{G_K} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M}(S_K).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The substack $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ of $\mathcal{M}(S_K)$ has normal crossing singularities if and only if the following occurs. Let C_K and an embedding of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$ in $\Gamma(S_K)$ be defined as above. Then, the finite subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K) < \Gamma(S_K)$ may contain, besides G_K, a $\Gamma(S_K)$-conjugate of G_K distinct from G_K. If this happens, the stack $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ self-intersects transversally inside the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}(S_K)$, in the point parametrizing C_K. The situation is different for level structures dominating an abelian level.

Proposition 3.1. *i.) For $m \geq 3$, an irreducible component of the inverse image of the closed substack $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ of $\mathcal{M}(S_K)$, in the abelian level structure $\mathcal{M}(S_K)^{(m)}$, is smooth and isomorphic to the Looijenga level structure $\mathcal{M}^{K,(m)}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$.*

ii.) For $m = 2$, a self-intersection of such irreducible component may occur only in the locus parametrizing hyperelliptic curves and, if the group G_K does not contain a hyperelliptic involution, its normalization is isomorphic to the Looijenga level structure $\mathcal{M}^{K,(2)}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$.

Proof. The image of T_{G_K} in the abelian level structure $\mathcal{M}(S_K)^{(m)}$ has self-intersections in the points parameterizing the curve C_K, if and only if its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$ contains two distinct $\Gamma(m)$-conjugates of G_K.

For $m \geq 3$ and, in case C_K is not hyperelliptic, for $m = 2$ as well, the restriction of the natural representation $\rho_{(m)}\colon \Gamma(S_K) \to \mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$ is faithful.

Let then f be an element of the abelian level $\ker \rho_{(m)}$ of $\Gamma(S_K)$ such that both G_K and fG_Kf^{-1} are contained in the finite subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$. It holds:

$$\rho_{(m)}(fG_Kf^{-1}) = \rho_{(m)}(f)\rho_{(m)}(G_K)\rho_{(m)}(f^{-1}) = \rho_{(m)}(G_K).$$

Therefore, it follows that $fG_Kf^{-1} = G_K$.

□

The most obvious way to compactify a level structure $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$ is to take the normalization $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ in the function field of $\mathcal{M}^\lambda$. This definition can be formulated more functorially in the category of regular log schemes as done by Mochizuki in [18]. It is easy to see that the natural morphism of logarithmic stacks $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda)^{log} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}^{log}$ is log-étale, where $(\_)^{log}$ denotes the logarithmic structure associated to the respective D–M boundaries $\partial\mathcal{M}^\lambda := \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda \smallsetminus \mathcal{M}^\lambda$ and $\partial\mathcal{M}_{g,[n]} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]} \smallsetminus \mathcal{M}_{g,[n]}$. Viceversa, by the log purity Theorem, any finite, connected, log étale Galois covering of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}^{log}$ is of the above type.

A basic property of (compactified) level structures is the following:

Proposition 3.2. *If a level Γ^λ is contained in an abelian level of order m, for some $m \geq 3$, then the level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^\lambda$ is represented by a projective variety.*

Proof. Even though this result is well known (cf. (ii), Proposition 2 [10]), its proof is rather technical and we prefer to give a sketch from the point of view of Teichmüller theory whose ideas will be useful later.

For a given stable n-pointed, genus g curve C, let $\mathcal{N}$ be its singular set and $\mathcal{P}$ its set of marked points. *A degenerate marking* $\phi\colon S_{g,n} \to C$ is a continuous map such that $C \smallsetminus \mathrm{Im}\,\phi = \mathcal{P}$, the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$, is a simple closed curve (briefly, s.c.c.) on $S_{g,n}$ and the restriction of the marking $\phi\colon S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \to C \smallsetminus (\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})$ is a homeomorphism. *The Bers bordification* $\overline{T}_{g,n}$ of the Teichmüller space $T_{g,n}$ is the real analytic space which parametrizes pairs (C, ϕ), consisting of a stable n-pointed, genus g curve C and the homotopy class of a degenerate marking $\phi\colon S_{g,n} \to C$. (cf. §3, Ch. II in [1] for more details on this construction).

The natural action of $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ on $T_{g,n}$ extends to $\overline{T}_{g,n}$. However, this action is not anymore proper and discontinuous, since a boundary stratum has for stabilizer the free abelian group generated by the Dehn twists along the simple closed curves of $S_{g,n}$ which are collapsed on such boundary stratum.

The geometric quotient $\overline{T}_{g,n}/\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ identifies with the real-analytic space underlying the coarse moduli space $\overline{M}_{g,[n]}$ of stable n-pointed, genus g curves. Instead, the quotient stack $[\overline{T}_{g,n}/\Gamma_{g,[n]}]$ only admits a non-representable natural map to the D–M stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$, because of the extra-inertia at infinity.

We can assume that the given level Γ^λ is contained in the pure mapping class group $\Gamma_{g,n}$. From the universal property of the normalization, it then follows that the level structure

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}$ is the relative moduli space of the morphism $[\overline{T}_{g,n}/\Gamma^{\lambda}] \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. In order to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}$ is representable, we have to show that for all $x = (C, \phi) \in \partial T_{g,n}$, the stabilizer Γ^{λ}_x equals its normal subgroup $\Gamma^{\lambda}_x \cap I_x$, where I_x is the free abelian subgroup of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ generated by the Dehn twists along the s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$ which are contracted by the map ϕ.

It is easy to see that Γ_x/I_x is naturally isomorphic to the automorphism group of the complex stable curve C and that elements in $\Gamma(m) \cap \Gamma_x$ project to automorphisms acting trivially on $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. Therefore, the claim and then the proposition follows from the fact that, for $m \geq 3$, the only such automorphism is the identity (cf. Lemma 4 [10]).

□

Remarks 3.3. i.) It is interesting to notice that, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, the abelian level structure $\mathcal{M}^{(m)}_{g,[n]}$ is representable while its D–M compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,[n]}$ is not, because stable curves with double pointed rational tails have a non-trivial automorphism which acts trivially on the homology. This shows that Proposition 3.2 formulates a non-trivial property of level structures.

ii.) For $2g - 2 + n > 0$, let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ with the properties that the quotient $\Pi_{g,n}/K$ surjects on $H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, for some $\ell \geq 3$, and the inverse image of any non-peripheral s.c.c. γ on $S_{g,n}$, via the covering map $p_K\colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$, is a union of non-separating curves. Then, it holds $\Gamma^{K,(m)} \leq \Gamma_{g,n}$ and, by Theorem 2.2, it holds as well $\Gamma^{K,(m)} \leq \Gamma(\ell)$, for all $m \geq 2$. Therefore, it follows that the corresponding compactified Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ dominates $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(\ell)}_{g,n}$, for $\ell \geq 3$, and then, by Proposition 3.2, is representable.

Returning to moduli of curves with symmetry, let us consider the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ of the locus $\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ in the D–M compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$. The closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ consists of the points $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ such that the automorphisms group of the curve C contains a subgroup conjugated to G_K via a degenerate marking $\phi\colon S_K \to C$.

This makes sense because an automorphism of C preserves the sets $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ of nodes and labels of C and is determined by its restriction to $C \smallsetminus (\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})$, a self-homeomorphism of S_K which preserves the closed submanifold $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ is determined by its restriction to $S_K \smallsetminus \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ and the restriction $\phi\colon S_K \smallsetminus \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \to C \smallsetminus (\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})$ is a homeomorphism.

By means of a degenerate marking $\phi\colon S_{g,n} \to C$, the finite group $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ then pulls back to a, not necessarily finite, subgroup H_ϕ of the mapping class group $\Gamma(S_K)$.

The closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ of the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ has a self-intersection in the point parameterizing the curve C, if and only if, for some (and then for all) degenerate marking $\phi\colon S_{g,n} \to C$, the associated subgroup $H_\phi < \Gamma(S_K)$ contains two distinct $\Gamma(S_K)$-conjugates of G_K.

The G_K-equivariant universal deformation of a stable curve endowed with a G_K-action is formally smooth (cf. §5.1.1 [5]). It follows that the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ has at most normal crossing singularities and its normalization $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K}$ is a smooth G_K-gerbe over the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$.

Let us describe the inverse image of the closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ in the compactified abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$.

The natural morphism of stacks $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ is log-étale with respect to the logarithmic structures associated to the repsective D–M boundaries. By base-change via the natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$, the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K}$ is also log-étale with respect to the logarithmic structures associated to the respective D–M boundaries. From the log-purity Theorem, it then follows that the connected components of the fiber product $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ are normal and hence isomorphic to the level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$.

Let us now describe locally the image of the level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ in the compactified abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $2g-2+n > 0$, let K be a proper invariant finite index subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$. Let $[C] \in \partial\mathcal{M}_{G_K}$ be such that the natural representation $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \to \mathrm{GL}(H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m))$ is injective for a given $m \geq 2$. Then, an irreducible component of the inverse image of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ in the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ is normal in a neighborhood of a point parametrizing the curve C.*

Proof. A connected and analytically irreducible component of the inverse image of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ in the Bers bordification $\overline{T}(S_K)$ is given by the fixed point set $\overline{T}_{G_K}$ for the action of the subgroup G_K of $\Gamma(S_K)$. Then, the natural isomorphism $T_{G_K} \cong T_{g,n}$ extends to an isomorphism of real-analytic spaces $\overline{T}_{G_K} \cong \overline{T}_{g,n}$.

A degenerate marking $\phi\colon S_K \to C$, for a point $[C] \in \partial\mathcal{M}(S_K)$, determines a point $P \in \partial T(S_K)$. The stabilizer $\Gamma(S_K)_P$ of P, for the action of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma(S_K)$ on the Bers bordification $\overline{T}(S_K)$, is then described by the short exact sequence:

$$1 \to I_P \to \Gamma(S_K)_P \to \mathrm{Aut}(C) \to 1,$$

where I_P is the free abelian group generated by the Dehn twists along the s.c.c.'s on S_K which are contracted by the marking ϕ.

A self-intersection of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ occurs in the boundary point $[C] \in \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$, if and only if the subgroup $\Gamma(S_K)_P$ of $\Gamma(S_K)$ contains two conjugates of G_K which project to distinct subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Similarly, the image of $\overline{T}_{G_K}$ in the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ has self-intersections in the points parameterizing the curve C, if and only if $\Gamma(S_K)_P$ contains two $\Gamma(m)$-conjugates of G_K which project to distinct subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$.

If, for the given $m \geq 2$, the natural representation $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \to \mathrm{GL}(H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m))$ is injective, then two finite subgroups of $\Gamma(S_K)_P$, which differ by conjugation by an element of the abelian level $\Gamma(m)$, project to the same subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. This proves the lemma. □

Let us then show that, under suitable hypotheses, the compactified Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ fits in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K} \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 3.5. *For $2g-2+n>0$, let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of ii.) Remarks 3.3. Then, the closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ does not meet the hyperelliptic locus and, for $m\geq 2$, the associated Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is representable and isomorphic to any of the irreducible components of the inverse image of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ in the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$.*

Proof. By ii.) Remarks 3.3, the level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ is representable for all $m\geq 2$. In particular, the image of the finite morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{G_K}\times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)}\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(2)}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(2)}$ does not meet the hyperelliptic locus of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(2)}$, which has a generic non-trivial automorphism. But then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ does not meet the hyperelliptic locus of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ either.

If the hypotheses of ii.) Remarks 3.3, are satisfied, from the proof of Proposition 3.2, it follows that, for all $m\geq 2$ and all points $[C]\in\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}\subset\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$, the natural representation $\mathrm{Aut}(C)\to\mathrm{GL}(H_1(C,\mathbb{Z}/m))$ is injective. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.1, for $m\geq 2$, the irreducible components of the inverse image of the closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ in the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ have no self-intersections and so they are isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$.

□

Remark 3.6. From the above description of a Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$, for $m\geq 2$, we can derive a simple smoothness criterion: if the inverse image of the closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ in the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ avoids its singular locus, then the compactified Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ is smooth. This easily follows from the fact that the closed substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K}$ meets transversally the branch locus of the covering $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$, which is contained in the D–M boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$.

There is a very elementary and effective method to describe the compactifications $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}$, locally in the analytic topology. The natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is étale outside the D–M boundary. Therefore, we need just to consider the case of a point $x\in\partial\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}$.

Let us observe first that, since the natural morphism of stacks $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is étale, it is not restrictive to consider only level structures $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Let then $\mathcal{B}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be an analytic neighborhood of the image y of x in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ such that:

- local coordinates $z_1,\ldots,z_{3g-3+n}$ embeds $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$ as an open ball;
- the curve $C:=\pi^{-1}(y)$ is maximally degenerate inside the pull-back $\mathscr{C}\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathcal{B}$ of the universal family over $\mathcal{B}$;
- an étale groupoid representing $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ trivializes over $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(C)\times\mathcal{B}\rightrightarrows\mathcal{B}$.

Let $\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_s\}$ be the set of singular points of C and let z_i, for $i=1,\ldots,s$, parametrize curves where the singularity Q_i subsists. The discriminant locus $\partial\mathcal{B}\subset\mathcal{B}$ of π has then equation $z_1\cdots z_s=0$. Let $U=\mathcal{B}\smallsetminus\partial\mathcal{B}$ and suppose that $a\in U$. The natural morphism $U\to\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ induces a homomorphism of fundamental groups:

$$\psi_U\colon\ \pi_1(U,a)\to\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,n},a)\equiv\Gamma_{g,n}.$$

A connected component U^λ of $U\times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ is then determined by the subgroup $\psi_U^{-1}(\Gamma^\lambda)$ of the group $\pi_1(U,a)$.

The neighborhood U is homotopic to the s–dimensional torus $(S^1)^s$. Therefore, the fundamental group $\pi_1(U,a)$ is abelian and freely generated by simple loops γ_i around the divisors z_i, for $i=1,\ldots,s$.

Since we have fixed a homeomorphism $S_{g,n}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathscr{C}_a$, the loops γ_i, for $i=1,\ldots,s$, can be lifted to disjoint, not mutually homotopic loops $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ on $S_{g,n}$, which do not bound a disc with less than 2 punctures. The loop γ_i is mapped by ψ_U exactly in the Dehn twist $\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}_i}$ of $\Gamma_{g,n}$, for $i=1,\ldots,s$. In particular, the representation ψ_U is faithful.

Let $E_{\Sigma(C)}$ be the free abelian group generated by the edges of the dual graph $\Sigma(C)$ of the stable curve C. The edges of the dual graph correspond to the isotopy classes of the s.c.c. γ_e in $S_{g,n}$ which become isotrivial specializing to C. Let us then identify the group $E_{\Sigma(C)}$ both with the free abelian group generated in $\Gamma_{g,n}$ by the set of Dehn twists $\{\tau_{\gamma_e}\}$ and with the fundamental group $\pi_1(U,a)$.

For a given level Γ^λ, let us denote by ψ_U^λ the composition of ψ_U with the natural epimorphism $\Gamma_{g,n}\to\Gamma_{g,n}/\Gamma^\lambda$. Hence, the kernel of ψ_U^λ is identified with $E_{\Sigma(C)}\cap\Gamma^\lambda$, which we call the local monodromy kernel in U of the level Γ^λ.

The local monodromy kernels for the abelian levels $\Gamma(m)$ of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ are easy to compute. Let $N_{\Sigma(C)}$ and $S_{\Sigma(C)}$ be respectively the subgroups of $E_{\Sigma(C)}$ generated by edges corresponding to non-separating s.c.c. and by edges corresponding to separating s.c.c.. Let instead $P_{\Sigma(C)}$ be the subgroup generated by elements of the form e_1-e_2, where $\{e_1,e_2\}$ corresponds to a cut pair on C.

Theorem 3.7. *With the above notations, the kernel of $\psi_U^{(m)}$ is given by:*

$$m\,N_{\Sigma(C)}+P_{\Sigma(C)}+S_{\Sigma(C)}.$$

Therefore, the singular locus of the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,[n]}$, for $m\geq 2$, is contained in the strata parametrized by cut pairs on $S_{g,n}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma:=\{\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_k\}$ be a set of disjoint, non-mutually homotopic non-peripheral s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$ and let us prove that $\tau_{\gamma_0}^{h_0}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tau_{\gamma_k}^{h_k}\in\Gamma(m)$ if and only if it maps to the identity in the quotient of the free abelian group E generated by the set of Dehn twists $\{\tau_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\sigma}$ by its subgroup $N+P+S$ generated by m-th powers of Dehn twists τ_γ, for $\gamma\in\sigma$, bounding pair maps $\tau_\gamma\tau_{\gamma'}^{-1}$, for $\gamma,\gamma'\in\sigma$ a cut pair, and Dehn twists τ_γ, for $\gamma\in\sigma$ a separating s.c.c..

Choosing an adapted system of generators for the homology of the compact Riemann surface S_g, it is easy to see that $N+P+S<\Gamma(m)$. So let us assume that $\tau_{\gamma_0}^{h_0}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tau_{\gamma_k}^{h_k}\in\Gamma(m)$ and let us show that this element maps to the identity in the quotient $E/(N+P+S)$.

Replacing $\tau_{\gamma_0}^{h_0}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tau_{\gamma_k}^{h_k}$ by an element which is congruent modulo $N+P+S$, we can assume that the set σ does not contain cut pairs or separating s.c.c.'s and that it holds $0\leq h_i<m$, for all $i=0,\ldots,k$.

From a simple topological argument, it then follows that, for two given distinct s.c.c.'s γ_i and γ_j in the set σ, there is a non-separating s.c.c. γ on $S_{g,n}$ which is disjoint from the other s.c.c.'s in σ and intersects γ_i and γ_j transversally in one point. For a given s.c.c. α on $S_{g,n}$, let us denote by $\overline{\alpha}\in H_1(S_g,\mathbb{Z}/m)$ the cycle associated to a given orientation of α. For suitable orientations of γ, γ_i and γ_j, it holds:

$$\tau_{\gamma_0}^{h_0}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tau_{\gamma_k}^{h_k}(\overline{\gamma})=\overline{\gamma}+\overline{\gamma_i}^{h_i}+\overline{\gamma_j}^{h_j}\in H_1(S_g,\mathbb{Z}/m).$$

Since the cycles $\overline{\gamma_i}$ and $\overline{\gamma_j}$ are primitive and linearly independent in the homology group $H_1(S_g,\mathbb{Z}/m)$, the above identity implies that $h_i=h_j=0$.

□

Let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$, satisfying the hypotheses of ii.) Remarks 3.3, and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ be the associated Looijenga level structure over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$, which then is representable and dominates the abelian level $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{(\ell)}$, for some $\ell\geq 3$.

Let us denote by $\psi\colon\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)$ the natural finite morphism. In Theorem 3.5, for $m\geq 2$, we identified the level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ with an irreducible component of the inverse image $\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K})$, which is a normal and proper subvariety of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$.

It is easy to determine the boundary strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ which are met by the inverse image $\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K})$, in terms of the covering $p_K\colon\ S_K\to S_{g,n}$ and systems of s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$.

Definition 3.8. We say that a set $\sigma=\{\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_k\}$ of disjoint, non-mutually homotopic non-peripheral s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$ is *admissible*. Let us also denote by the same letter σ their union in $S_{g,n}$.

For an admissible set σ of s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$, the inverse image $p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ is also an admissible set of s.c.c.'s on S_K and so determines a closed boundary stratum $B_{p_K^{-1}(\sigma)}^{(m)}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ (cf. Section 4 for details on this correspondence). It is clear that:

$$\psi^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{G_K})\cap\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}\subset\bigcup_{\sigma\subset S_{g,n}}B_{p_K^{-1}(\sigma)}^{(m)}.$$

Therefore, from Remark 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, it follows:

Theorem 3.9. *For $2g-2+n>0$, let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ such that the covering $p_K\colon\ S_K\to S_{g,n}$ has the property that, for all admissible sets σ of s.c.c.'s, the inverse image $p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ does not contain cut pairs. Then, the compact Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is smooth for all $m\geq 2$.*

It is now possible both to clarify and improve substantially the main result of [15]. The only technical result needed from [15] is Proposition 2, which states that, for $g\geq 2$ and

$K=\Pi_g^2$, the covering $p_K\colon\ S_K\to S_g$ is such that, for any admissible set σ of s.c.c.'s on S_g, the inverse image $p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ does not contain cut pairs. It is not difficult to extend the argument to invariant subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$ satisfying more general hypotheses:

Lemma 3.10. *For $2g-2+n>0$, let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ such that the covering map $p_K\colon\ S_K\to S_{g,n}$ ramifies non-trivially over all punctures of $S_{g,n}$ and let $K_\ell:=[K,K]K^\ell$, for an integer $\ell\geq 2$. This is also a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and the associated covering map $p_{K_\ell}\colon\ S_{K_\ell}\to S_{g,n}$ is such that, for an admissible set σ of s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$, the inverse image $p_{K_\ell}^{-1}(\sigma)$ contains no separating curves or cut pairs.*

Proof. The condition on the covering map $p_K\colon\ S_K\to S_{g,n}$ implies, by Hurwitz Theorem, that, for an admissible set σ of s.c.c.'s on $S_{g,n}$, the inverse image $p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ determines an admissible set of s.c.c.'s on the closed Riemann surface $\overline{S}_K$ and that this is of genus at least 2 (except, possibly, for the case $g=0$ and $n=3$, which is irrelevant).

Let us denote by $\phi_\ell\colon\ S_{K_\ell}\to S_K$ the abelian covering defined by the subgroup K_ℓ of K. It is enough to prove that the dual graph Σ_{K_ℓ} of the stable curve obtained collapsing on S_{K_ℓ} the s.c.c.'s contained in $\phi_\ell^{-1}(\sigma)$ stays connected if we remove two edges, where σ is an admissible set of s.c.c.'s on the closed Riemann surface $\overline{S}_K$. Let Σ_K be the dual graph of the stable curve obtained collapsing on S_K the s.c.c.'s in σ. As in the proof of Proposition 2 [15], it is enough to consider the case in which the cardinality of σ is ≤ 2.

Let us observe in the first place that the fact that Dehn twists on S_K lift to homeomorphisms of the covering surface S_{K_ℓ} implies that each s.c.c. contained in $\phi_\ell^{-1}(\sigma)$ bounds two distinct connected components of S_K. Then, the graph Σ_{K_ℓ} does not contain loops and there is a natural action without inversions of the deck transformation group $H_1(K,\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ on Σ_{K_ℓ} with quotient the graph Σ_K.

A vertex v of the graph Σ_K corresponds to a connected component S' of $S_K\smallsetminus\sigma$ The vertices of Σ_{K_ℓ} lying over v have the same stabilizer for the action of $H_1(K,\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ and this is the image of $H_1(S')$ in $H_1(K,\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ for the homomorphism induced by inclusion. Similarly, the edges of Σ_{K_ℓ} lying over an edge e of Σ_K, corresponding to the s.c.c. γ of the set σ, have for stabilizer the associated cyclic subgroup I_e of $H_1(K,\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$.

The number of vertices (resp. edges) of Σ_{K_ℓ} lying over v (resp. e) is given by the index of the stabilizer of one of these vertices (resp. edges) in the group $H_1(K,\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$.

A simple count and symmetry show that each vertex of Σ_{K_ℓ} is connected to the rest of the graph by at least three edges and that, when two vertices are connected by an edge, they are connected by at least two edges. Therefore, the graph cannot be disconnected removing two edges.

□

Looijenga's results then generalize to all pairs (g,n), for $2g-2+n>0$:

Theorem 3.11. *For $2g-2+n>0$, let K_ℓ be a subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ of the type defined in Lemma 3.10. Then, the associated compact Looijenga level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K_\ell,(m)}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,[n]}$ is smooth for all $m\geq 2$ and representable for $\ell\geq 3$ or $m\geq 3$.*

Proof. The statement about smoothness is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.10.

The hypotheses of Lemma 3.10 on the subgroup K also imply that $\Gamma^{K_\ell,(m)} < \Gamma_{g,n}$, for all $m \geq 2$. Moreover, the quotient $\Pi_{g,n}/K_\ell$ surjects onto $H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$. Therefore, if $\ell \geq 3$ or $m \geq 3$, it holds $\Gamma^{K_\ell,(m)} < \Gamma_{g,n} \cap \Gamma(k)$, for some $k \geq 3$, and the associated compact level structure is representable.

□

It is not difficult to determine explicitly the local monodromy coefficients for the levels $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9.

For a non-peripheral s.c.c. γ on $S_{g,n}$, let c_γ be the order in the quotient group $G_K = \Pi_{g,n}/K$ of an element of $\Pi_{g,n}$, whose free homotopy class contains γ. By the invariance of K, the positive integer c_γ depends only on the topological type of $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \gamma$. It then holds:

Proposition 3.12. *Let K be a finite index invariant subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9. With the same notations of Theorem 3.7, for $m \geq 2$, the kernel of $\psi_U^{K,(m)}$ is given by:*

$$\sum_{e \in \Sigma(C)} m c_{\gamma_e} e.$$

Proof. For a non-peripheral s.c.c. γ on $S_{g,n}$, let us denote by k_γ the order of the image of the Dehn twist τ_γ in the quotient group $\Gamma_{g,n}/\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ and let $\amalg_{i=1}^h S_i := \overline{S}_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Let us show first that c_γ divides k_γ. This follows from the fact that any lift to $\overline{S}_K$ of a power τ_γ^k, where c_γ does not divide k, either switches the connected components of $\overline{S}_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\gamma)$, and does not act trivially on $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ for $m \geq 2$, or restricts to a non-trivial finite order homeomorphism of some connected component S_i of $\overline{S}_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\gamma)$, which then acts non-trivially on the image of $H_1(S_i, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ in $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, for $m \geq 2$.

The power $\tau_\gamma^{c_\gamma} \in \Gamma_{g,[n]}$ of the given Dehn twist lifts to a product $\xi_\gamma := \prod_{\delta \in \sigma_\gamma} \tau_\delta \in \Gamma(S_K)$ of Dehn twists along the set σ_γ of disjoint s.c.c.'s on S_K contained in $p_K^{-1}(\gamma)$. This lift is contained in the centralizer of G_K. Therefore, another lift is of the form $\xi_\gamma \cdot \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in G_K$, where ξ_γ and α are commuting elements of $\Gamma(S_K)$.

Any power of ξ_γ fixes the subsurface S_i of $\overline{S}_K$ and acts trivially on the image of $H_1(S_i, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ in $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, h$, while no non-trivial element of G_K has this property. Hence, the cyclic subgroup generated by the image of ξ_γ in the group $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ intersects trivially the image of the group G_K.

It then follows that the image of ξ_γ in $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ is of minimal order between those of all possible lifts of $\tau_\gamma^{c_\gamma}$ to $\Gamma(S_K)$.

By hypothesis, the set σ_γ consists of non-separating s.c.c. and does not contain cut pairs. Hence, by Theorem 3.7, the image of ξ_γ in $\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ has order m. Therefore, it holds $k_\gamma = m c_\gamma$.

□

4 The boundary of level structures and the complex of curves

For simplicity, we restrict here to level structures over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Most of the result of this section are well known or have already appeared elsewhere (§3 of [6]) but there are also some rectifications to [6] (notably, in Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3).

For a point $[C] \in \partial\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, let $C_{g_1,n_1} \amalg \ldots \amalg C_{g_h,n_h}$ be the normalization of C, where C_{g_i,n_i}, for $i = 1, \ldots, h$, is a genus g_i smooth curve with n_i labels on it (the labels also include the inverse images of singularities in C). Then, there is a natural morphism, which we call *boundary map*:

$$\beta_C : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_h,n_h} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$

The image of $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{g_h,n_h}$ by β_C parametrizes curves homeomorphic to C and is called a *stratum*. We denote the restriction of β_C to $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{g_h,n_h}$ by $\dot{\beta}_C$ and call it a *stratum map*. In general, these morphisms are not embeddings.

A variant of the Bers bordification $\overline{T}_{g,n}$ of the Teichmüller space $T_{g,n}$ is the *Harvey bordification* $\widehat{T}_{g,n}$. This can be constructed by means of the D–M compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the real oriented blow-up of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ along the D–M boundary. Its boundary $\partial\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} := \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \smallsetminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is homeomorphic to a deleted tubular neighborhood of the D–M boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and the natural projection $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ restricts over each codimension k stratum to a bundle in k-dimensional tori. The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a homotopy equivalence and then induces an inclusion of the respective universal covers $T_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \widehat{T}_{g,n}$.

From Proposition 3.2, it follows that $\widehat{T}_{g,n}$ is representable and, therefore, is a real analytic manifold with corners containing $T_{g,n}$ as an open dense submanifold. The *ideal boundary* of Teichmüller space is defined to be $\partial\widehat{T}_{g,n} := \widehat{T}_{g,n} \smallsetminus T_{g,n}$.

The strata of $\partial\widehat{T}_{g,n}$ lying above the boundary map β_C, are isomorphic to the product $(\mathbb{R}^+)^k \times \widehat{T}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{T}_{g_h,n_h}$. Thus, they are all contractible. Hence, $\partial\widehat{T}_{g,n}$ is homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization of the nerve of its cover by irreducible components.

This nerve is described by the simplicial complex whose simplices consist of sets of distinct, non-trivial isotopy classes of non-peripheral s.c.c. on $S_{g,n}$, such that they admit a set of disjoint representatives. This is the complex of curves $C(S_{g,n})$ of $S_{g,n}$. It is easy to check that the combinatorial dimension of $C(S_{g,n})$ is one less the complex dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, i.e.: $n-4$ for $g=0$ and $3g-4+n$ for $g \geq 1$. The natural action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ on $\partial\widehat{T}_{g,n}$ then induces a simplicial action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ on $C(S_{g,n})$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}_{g,n}$ be the oriented real blow-up of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}_{g,n}$ along $\partial\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}$. It holds $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}_{g,n} \cong [\widehat{T}_{g,n}/\Gamma^{\lambda}]$ and then also $\partial\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}_{g,n} \cong [(\partial\widehat{T}_{g,n})/\Gamma^{\lambda}]$. Therefore, the quotient $|C(S_{g,n})|/\Gamma^{\lambda}$ is a cellular complex which realizes the nerve of the cover of $\partial\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda}$ by irreducible components and, thus, the nerve of the cover of $\partial\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}$ by irreducible components.

Definition 4.1. For $2g-2+n>0$, let Γ^{λ} be a level of $\Gamma_{g,n}$. Then, we define $C^{\lambda}(S_{g,n})_{\bullet}$ to be the finite simplicial set associated to the cellular complex $|C(S_{g,n})|/\Gamma^{\lambda}$. This simplicial set parameterizes the nerve of the cover of $\partial\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}$ by its irreducible components.

Let σ be a non-degenerate k-simplex of $C(S_{g,n})^\lambda_\bullet$, such that, for some lift $\tilde\sigma$ of σ to the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$, it holds $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \tilde\sigma := S_{g_1,n_1} \amalg \ldots \amalg S_{g_h,n_h}$. Then, to the simplex σ, is associated a boundary map $\beta^\lambda_\sigma\colon\ \delta^\lambda_\sigma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ which is the restriction to an irreducible component of the pull-back β' of the boundary map β associated to the topological type of the Riemann surface $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \tilde\sigma$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta'"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_h,n_h} \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The image of β^λ_σ is the *closed boundary stratum associated to* σ. Similarly, we call the restriction $\dot\beta^\lambda_\sigma\colon\ \dot\delta^\lambda_\sigma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n}$ of β^λ_σ over $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{g_h,n_h}$ the *stratum map of* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ *associated to* σ and its image is the *boundary stratum associated to* σ. By log-étale base change, the natural morphism $\dot\delta^\lambda_\sigma \to \mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{g_h,n_h}$ is étale.

Similar definitions can be given with $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n}$ in place of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n}$. For a simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})$, we define the *ideal boundary map* $\hat\beta^\lambda_\sigma\colon\ \hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n}$, as the pull-back of β^λ_σ via the blow-up map $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g,n}$.

The fundamental group of $\hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma$ is described as follows. Let $\hat\delta^\lambda_\sigma$ be the real oriented blow-up of δ^λ_σ along the divisor $\delta^\lambda_\sigma \smallsetminus \dot\delta^\lambda_\sigma$. The embedding $\dot\delta^\lambda_\sigma \hookrightarrow \hat\delta^\lambda_\sigma$ is a homotopy equivalence and $\hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma$ is a bundle over $\hat\delta^\lambda_\sigma$ in k-dimensional tori. Hence, there is an isomorphism $\pi_1(\hat\delta^\lambda_\sigma) \cong \pi_1(\dot\delta^\lambda_\sigma)$ and a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\sigma} \mathbb{Z}\cdot\gamma \to \pi_1(\hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma) \to \pi_1(\hat\delta^\lambda_\sigma) \to 1.$$

A connected component of the fibred product $\hat\Delta_\sigma \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \widehat{T}_{g,n}$ is naturally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^+)^k \times \widehat{T}_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{T}_{g_h,n_h}$. Therefore, the fundamental group of $\hat\Delta_\sigma$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of elements in $\Gamma_{g,n}$ which stabilize one of these connected components, preserving, moreover, the order of its factors. So, if we let

$$\Gamma_{\vec\sigma} := \{f \in \Gamma_{g,n} |\ f(\vec\gamma) = \vec\gamma,\ \forall\gamma\in\sigma\},$$

where $\vec\gamma$ is the s.c.c. γ endowed with an orientation, it holds $\pi_1(\hat\Delta_\sigma) \cong \Gamma_{\vec\sigma}$ and, for the trivial level, the above short exact sequence takes the more familiar form

$$1 \to \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\sigma} \mathbb{Z}\cdot\tau_\gamma \to \Gamma_{\vec\sigma} \to \Gamma_{g_1,n_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{g_h,n_h} \to 1.$$

By the same argument, more generally, it holds $\pi_1(\hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma) \cong \Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\vec\sigma}$.

The fundamental group of $\hat\beta_\sigma(\hat\Delta_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the stabilizer Γ_σ of σ, for the action of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ on $C(S_{g,n})$ and more generally, it holds $\pi_1(\hat\beta^\lambda_\sigma(\hat\Delta^\lambda_\sigma)) \cong \Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_\sigma$.

Let us observe that an $f \in \Gamma_\sigma$ can switch the s.c.c. in σ as well as their orientations. Hence, denoting by $\Sigma_\sigma\{\pm\}$ the group of signed permutations of the set σ, there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\vec{\sigma}} \to \Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_\sigma \to \Sigma_\sigma\{\pm\}$$

and the Galois group of the étale covering $\dot{\delta}^\lambda_\sigma \to \operatorname{Im} \dot{\beta}^\lambda_\sigma$ is isomorphic to the image of $\Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_\sigma$ in $\Sigma_\sigma\{\pm\}$. Thus, the stratum map $\dot{\beta}^\lambda_\sigma$ is injective if and only if $\Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\vec{\sigma}} = \Gamma^\lambda \cap \Gamma_\sigma$.

In Proposition 3.1 in [6], it is wrongly claimed that all boundary maps $\delta^{(m)}_\sigma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}$ are injective for $m \geq 3$. What is actually proved there is the weaker statement (which is also an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.8 [12]):

Proposition 4.2. *Let Γ^λ be a level of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ contained in some abelian level $\Gamma(m)$, for $m \geq 3$. Then, the group Γ^λ operates without inversions on the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$.*

Proof. Let us show first that, given two disjoint oriented separating s.c.c.'s $\vec{\gamma}_0$ and $\vec{\gamma}_1$ which either have distinct free homotopy classes or differ in their orientations, there is no $f \in \Gamma^\lambda$ such that $f(\vec{\gamma}_0) = \vec{\gamma}_1$. Let us denote by S_0 and S_1 two disjoint subsurfaces of $S_{g,n}$ such that $\gamma_0 = \partial S_0$ and $\gamma_1 = \partial S_1$, respectively. If there is an $f \in \Gamma^\lambda$ such that $f(\vec{\gamma}_0) = \vec{\gamma}_1$, then the homeomorphism f maps S_0 on S_1. If S_0 or S_1 are punctured, this is not possible. If they are unpunctured, then their genus is at least 1 and f acts non-trivially on the homology of S_g, with any system of coefficients. Hence $f \notin \Gamma^\lambda \leq \Gamma(m)$, for $m \geq 2$.

The only inversions, for the action of Γ^λ on $C(S_{g,n})$, may occur on non-separating s.c.c.'s. But then the only possibility is that an $f \in \Gamma^\lambda$ swops two disjoint homologous s.c.c.'s, i.e. a cut pair $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1\}$. Let $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1\} \cong S_1 \amalg S_2$. If f swops γ_0 and γ_1, but not S_1 and S_2, it is easy to see that $f(\vec{\gamma}_0) = -\vec{\gamma}_0$ in the homology group $H_1(S_{g,n}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. Therefore, $f \notin \Gamma(m)$, for $m \geq 3$. If instead f swops S_1 and S_2, arguing as above, we conclude that $f \notin \Gamma(m)$, for $m \geq 2$.

□

The statement of Proposition 3.1 in [6] holds with the following additional hypotheses:

Proposition 4.3. *For $2g - 2 + n > 0$, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ be a level structure which dominates a smooth level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\mu$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, such that $\Gamma^\mu \leq \Gamma(m)$, for some $m \geq 2$. Then, all boundary maps $\delta^\lambda_\sigma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ are embeddings. In particular, the level Γ^λ operates without inversions on $C(S_{g,n})$.*

Proof. By arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 4.2, one is reduced to show that, for any two disjoint, non-isotopic, non-separating s.c.c.'s γ_0 and γ_1 on $S_{g,n}$, there is no $f \in \Gamma^\lambda$ such that $f(\gamma_0) = \gamma_1$.

By Theorem 3.7, the natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\mu \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ramifies over the divisor of nodal irreducible curves. But, in the proof of Proposition 2.1 [9], it was shown that an $f \in \Gamma^\mu$ with the above properties would then yield a singularity in the stratum of the D–M boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\mu$, corresponding to the simplex $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1\}$, against our hypotheses. Hence, there is no such an $f \in \Gamma^\mu$ and, a fortiori, in Γ^λ.

□

Remark 4.4. Let Γ^λ be a level of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of either Proposition 4.2 or Proposition 4.3. Then, the simplicial set $C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ of Definition 4.1 can be naturally realized as a quotient in the category of simplicial sets. Let us order the vertices of the simplicial complex $C(S_{g,n})$ compatibly with the action of Γ^λ and let $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ be the simplicial set associated to the simplicial complex $C(S_{g,n})$ and this ordering of its vertices. The finite simplicial set $C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is then naturally isomorphic to the quotient of $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ by the simplicial action of the level Γ^λ.

5 The D–M boundary of abelian level structures

In this section, we are going to describe the boundary components of abelian level structures in terms of other simple geometric levels. Let us recall the statement of Lemma 3.4 [7]:

Lemma 5.1. *i.) Let Γ^λ be a level of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ such that the branch locus of the covering $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is contained in the boundary divisor of nodal irreducible curves. Let $p\colon \Gamma_{g,n} \to \Gamma_{g,n-1}$ be the epimorphism induced filling in P_n on $S_{g,n}$ and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda'}$ be the level structure over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}$ associated to the level $p(\Gamma^\lambda)$ of $\Gamma_{g,n-1}$. Then, it holds $\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda) = \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda'})$.*

ii.) Let $\Gamma^{\lambda_1} \leq \Gamma^{\lambda_2}$ be two levels of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ whose associated level structures satisfy the hypothesis in the above item. If the natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda_1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\lambda_2}$ is étale and, with the same notations as above, it holds $p(\Gamma^{\lambda_1}) = p(\Gamma^{\lambda_2})$, then $\Gamma^{\lambda_1} = \Gamma^{\lambda_2}$.

Let N be the kernel of the epimorphism $\Pi_{g-1,n+2} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{g-1,2}$, induced filling in the punctures $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. Let us then define the $\Gamma_{g-1,n+2}$-invariant normal subgroup of $\Pi_{g-1,n+2}$:

$$\Pi^{(m)_0} := N \cdot \Pi^{[2],m}_{g-1,n+2}.$$

Let $\Gamma^{(m)_0} \trianglelefteq \Gamma_{g-1,n+2}$ be the associated geometric level. For $n = 0$, it holds $\Gamma^{(m)_0} = \Gamma^{[2],m}$.

Theorem 5.2. *For $2g - 2 + n > 0$, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ be an abelian level structure of order $m \geq 2$.*

i.) Let α be a separating circle on $S_{g,n}$, such that $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \alpha \cong S_{g_1,n_1+1} \amalg S_{g_2,n_2+1}$, and let $\beta^{(m)}_\alpha : \delta^{(m)}_\alpha \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ be the associated boundary map. Then, the morphism $\beta^{(m)}_\alpha$ is an embedding and there is a natural isomorphism $\delta^{(m)}_\alpha \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g_2,n_2+1}$.

ii.) Let γ be a non-separating circle on $S_{g,n}$ and $\beta^{(m)}_\gamma : \delta^{(m)}_\gamma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ be the associated boundary map. Then, the morphism $\beta^{(m)}_\gamma$, for $g > 2$, is never an embedding, and, with the above notations, there is a natural isomorphism $\delta^{(m)}_\gamma \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)_0}_{g-1,n+2}$.

Proof. The homology $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ of a stable n-pointed genus g curve of compact type C has a natural structure of non-degenerate symplectic free $\mathbb{Z}/m$-module of rank $2g$ and the decomposition of C in irreducible components determines a symplectic decomposition of this module.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ the substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ parametrizing curves of compact type. Then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ is the moduli space of stable, n-pointed, genus g curves of compact type endowed with a symplectic isomorphism $H_1(C,\mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow{\sim} ((\mathbb{Z}/m)^{2g}, \langle\_,\_\rangle)$, where $\langle\_,\_\rangle$ is the standard symplectic form. It is also clear that the clutching morphism defines an embedding of the product $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g_2,n_2+1}$ inside $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$. Since the boundary map $\delta^{(m)}_\alpha \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ is also an embedding, by the proof of Proposition 4.2, the first part of the theorem follows.

As to ii.), from Proposition 6.7 in [23], it follows that, if γ and γ' form a cut pair on $S_{g,n}$, then there is an $f \in \Gamma(m)$ such that $f(\gamma) = \gamma'$. By the description of the D–M boundary of level structures given in Section 4, we then know that such cut pair determines a self-intersection on the boundary component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ parametrized by γ.

Let us then prove the second part of ii.). The D–M stack $\delta^{(m)}_\gamma$ is normal, hence naturally isomorphic to the compactification of the level structure defined by the étale Galois covering $\dot{\delta}^{(m)}_\gamma \to \mathcal{M}_{g-1,n+2}$. By Section 4 and Theorem 3.7, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau^m_\gamma \to \Gamma(m) \cap \Gamma_{\vec{\gamma}} \xrightarrow{q} \pi_1(\dot{\delta}^{(m)}_\gamma) \to 1.$$

Fix a homeomorphism $h\colon\ S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \gamma \to S_{g-1,n+2}$ mapping the punctures of $S_{g,n}$ in the first n punctures of $S_{g-1,n+2}$. This induces a monomorphism $h_\sharp\colon\ \Pi_{g-1,n+2} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{g,n}$ and then a monomorphism

$$h_\sharp\colon\ \ \Pi_{g-1,n+2}/\,\Pi^{(m)_0} \hookrightarrow H_1(S_g,\mathbb{Z}/m).$$

Let $f \in \Gamma(m) \cap \Gamma_{\vec{\gamma}}$ then f acts trivially on $H_1(S_g,\mathbb{Z}/m)$. Therefore, f acts trivially also on the subgroup $\Pi_{g-1,n+2}/\Pi^{(m)_0}$, hence $q(f) \in \Gamma^{(m)_0}$ and the level $\pi_1(\dot{\delta}^{(m)}_\gamma)$ is contained in $\Gamma^{(m)_0}$. To prove that the two levels are the same, let us use Lemma 5.1.

Denote by $p\colon\ \Gamma_{g-1,n+2} \to \Gamma_{g-1,n+1}$ the epimorphism induced filling in P_{n+2} and by Γ^λ the level in $\Gamma_{g-1,n+1}$ given by the subgroup $p(\pi_1(\dot{\delta}^{(m)}_\gamma))$. We claim that $\Gamma^\lambda = p(\Gamma^{(m)_0})$.

It is easy to check that $p(\Gamma^{(m)_0}) = \Gamma(m)$. In particular, it holds $\Gamma^\lambda \leq \Gamma(m)$. For the reverse inclusion, let us observe that, by the first part of the theorem, $\delta^{(m)}_\gamma$ contains a stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{0,3} \times \mathcal{M}^{(m)}_{g-1,n+1}$.

Therefore, the natural morphism $\delta^{(m)}_\gamma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda_{g-1,n+1}$ induces by restriction an étale morphism $\mathcal{M}^{(m)}_{g-1,n+1} \to \mathcal{M}^\lambda_{g-1,n+1}$. This implies that $\Gamma(m) \leq \Gamma^\lambda$ and then $\Gamma^\lambda = p(\Gamma^{(m)_0})$.

It remains to prove that the morphism $\delta^{(m)}_\gamma \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)_0}_{g-1,n+2}$ is étale and that the branch loci of both levels over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+2}$ are contained in the divisor $\beta_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-2,n+4})$. By the first part of the theorem, it is enough to prove both statements for $n = 0$. Since, as noticed above, in this case it holds $\Gamma^{(m)_0} = \Gamma^{[2],m}$, the conclusion follows from the computation of the local monodromy coefficients for these levels (cf. Theorem 3.3.3 in [21]).

□

6 The nerve of the D–M boundary of abelian level structures

There is no obvious way to extend the description of codimension 1 strata given in Theorem 5.2 to higher codimension. At any rate, in this section, we are going to describe the nerve of the D–M boundary of the abelian levels in an explicit if complicated way.

In Section 4, we saw that, for all $m \geq 2$, the nerve of the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{g,n}$ is the simplicial set $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ associated to the quotient cellular complex $|C(S_{g,n})|/\Gamma(m)$.

As a preliminary step, we need to describe the quotient of the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$ by the action of the Torelli group $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$, which is the kernel of the natural representation $\Gamma_{g,n} \to \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the Torelli group $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$ is contained in the abelian level $\Gamma(3)$, it acts without inversions on the curve complex. So, let $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ be the simplicial set associated to the curve complex and an order of its vertices compatible with the action of the Torelli group $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$. We then define $C^{(0)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ to be the quotient simplicial set $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet/\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$.

Let us recall the definition of a graph of groups and of the fundamental group of a graph of groups (cf. Ch. I, §5.1 [25]). For a graph Y, let us denote by $v(Y)$ its vertex set, by $e(Y)$ its set of unoriented edges and by $\vec{e}(Y)$ its set of oriented edges. For an oriented edge $\vec{e} \in \vec{e}(Y)$ we denote by $\overleftarrow{e} \in \vec{e}(Y)$ the same edge with the opposite orientation and by $e \in e(Y)$ the underlying unoriented edge.

A graph of groups (G, Y) is given by a graph Y and the data of groups G_v and G_e, for every vertex $v \in v(Y)$ and every unoriented edge $e \in e(Y)$, together with monomorphisms $s_{\vec{e}}\colon G_e \hookrightarrow G_{s(\vec{e})}$ and $t_{\vec{e}}\colon G_e \hookrightarrow G_{t(\vec{e})}$, for every oriented edge $\vec{e} \in \vec{e}(Y)$, where $s(\vec{e})$ and $t(\vec{e})$ are the vertices of e in the source and in the target, respectively, of the given orientation.

The fundamental group $\pi_1(G, Y)$ of the graph of groups (G, Y) is the group with presentation $\langle P|R\rangle$, where P is the free product of all vertex groups G_v and of the free group on the oriented edges $\vec{e}(Y)$, while R is the set of relations $\overleftarrow{e} = \vec{e}^{\,-1}$ and $\vec{e} \cdot t_{\vec{e}}(a) \cdot \overleftarrow{e} = s_{\vec{e}}(a)$, for all $e \in e(Y)$ and $a \in G_e$.

Definition 6.1. For $m \neq 1$ a non-negative integer and $g \geq 1$, let $H_m := H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ (in particular, $H_0 := H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z})$), endowed with the symplectic form $\langle \_, \_ \rangle$ induced by intersection of cycles on S_g. Let $\mathscr{P}_n$ be the set of subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

For $2g-2+n > 0$, an *n-marked graph decomposition* (G, Y, d) of H_m is a graph of groups (G, Y), whose vertex and edge groups $\{G_\sigma\}$ are primitive subgroups of H_m, endowed with a marking $d\colon v(Y) \to \mathscr{P}_n$. We require that the following properties be satisfied.

i.) There is an isomorphism $\phi\colon \pi_1(G, Y)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{\sim} H_m$ such that it holds $\phi|_{G_\sigma} = \mathrm{id}_{G_\sigma}$, for all simplices σ of the graph Y, where the superscript "ab" is for abelianization.

ii.) It holds $\bigcup_{v \in v(Y)} d(v) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $d(v) \cap d(v') = \emptyset$, for $v \neq v' \in v(Y)$.

iii.) Let E be the subgroup of H_m generated by all edge groups of (G, Y). Then, for all vertices v of Y, it holds $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, for all $x \in E$ and $y \in G_v$. In particular, E is an isotropic sub-lattice of H_m.

iv.) All edge groups are cyclic. Let $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of unoriented edges. Then, if $Y \smallsetminus \cup_{i\in I} e_i$ is connected, the associated set of edge groups $\{G_{e_i}\}_{i\in I}$ spans a primitive subgroup of H_m of rank $|I|$. If instead $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ is minimal for the property that $Y \smallsetminus \cup_{i\in I} e_i$ is disconnected, there is a set $\{u_i\}_{i\in I}$ of generators of these edge groups such that it holds $\sum_{i\in I} u_i = 0$.

v.) For v a vertex of Y, let $\mathrm{star}(v)$ be its star in Y and let $|\mathrm{star}(v)|$ be the number of edges contained in the star. Then, all vertex groups G_v, such that $|\mathrm{star}(v)| + |d(v)| \leq 2$, are non trivial and of rank ≥ 2.

vi.) For v a vertex of Y, let $E(v)$ be the subgroup of G_v generated by the edge groups it contains. Then, there is a decomposition $G_v = E(v) \oplus G'_v$, where G'_v is a symplectic, non-degenerate, possibly trivial, sub-module of H_m.

The *rank* of an n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d) is the cardinality $|e(Y)|$ of the set of unoriented edges of Y. We say that two n-marked graph decompositions (G, Y, d) and (G', Y', d') of H_m are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $f\colon\ Y \to Y'$ of the underlying graphs such that, for all $v \in v(Y)$, it holds $d'(f(v)) = d(v)$ and, for every simplex σ of Y, it holds $G'_{f(\sigma)} = G_\sigma$. Let us denote by $[G, Y, d]$ the equivalence class of the n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d). If $n = 0$, we simply say that (G, Y) is a graph decomposition of H_m and denote by $[G, Y]$ the corresponding equivalence class.

A k-simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})$ determines a partition $\amalg_{v\in V} S_v := S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \sigma$ of the surface $S_{g,n}$. We can associate to σ the graph (G, Y) of abelian subgroups of $H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ whose vertex groups consist of the images of the natural homomorphisms $H_1(S_v, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, for $v \in V$, and whose edge groups are the cyclic subgroups of $H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ determined by the s.c.c.'s in σ. The marking $d\colon\ v(Y) = V \to \mathscr{P}_n$ is then defined assigning to a vertex v of the graph Y the indices of the punctures on the corresponding subsurface S_v of $S_{g,n}$.

In this way, it is associated to every simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})$ an n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d) of rank $k + 1$.

Conversely, it is easy to check that, given an n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d) of H_m of rank $k + 1$, there is a k-simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})$ which has (G, Y, d) for associated n-marked graph decomposition.

The partition of $S_{g,n}$ determined by a simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})$ is refined by the partition determined by a simplex σ' such that $\sigma \subset \sigma'$.

Definition 6.2. Let us define a partial order on the set of all n-marked graph decompositions of H_m, letting $(G', Y', d') \leq (G, Y, d)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

i.) the set of edge groups of (G', Y', d') is a subset of the set of edge groups of (G, Y, d);

ii.) for each vertex v' of Y', there is a connected subgraph of groups (K, Z) of (G, Y) such that $d'(v') = \bigcup_{v\in v(Z)} d(v)$ and there is an isomorphism $\psi\colon\ \pi_1(K, Z)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{\sim} G'_{v'}$ with the property that $\psi|_{K_\sigma} = \mathrm{id}_{K_\sigma}$ for all simplices σ of the subgraph Z.

The partial order so defined on the set of n-marked graph decompositions of H_m is compatible with the equivalence relation defined above and thus induces a partial order on the set of equivalence classes of n-marked graph decompositions of H_m.

Definition 6.3. For $m \neq 1$ a non-negative integer, let $\mathscr{G}^n(H_m)_\bullet$ be the simplicial set whose semi-simplicial set of non-degenerate simplices is defined as follows.

i.) The set of k-simplices is the set of equivalence classes of n-marked graph decompositions of H_m of rank $k+1$.

ii.) The $(k-1)$-faces of a k-simplex $[G, Y, d]$ are the equivalence classes of n-marked graph decompositions $(G', Y', d') \leq (G, Y, d)$ of rank k. A face map $\partial_e\colon [G, Y, d] \to [G', Y', d']$ is assigned to the unoriented edge $e \in e(Y)$, if the set of edge groups of $[G', Y', d']$ coincides with the set of edge groups associated to the edges of $Y \smallsetminus e$.

Theorem 6.4. *For $2g-2+n>0$ and $g \geq 1$, there is a natural isomorphism of simplicial sets $\Psi_\bullet\colon\ C^{(0)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \to \mathscr{G}^n(H_0)_\bullet$.*

Proof. By definition, $C^{(0)}(S_{g,n})_k = C(S_{g,n})_k/\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$. From the above remarks, it follows that, for $k \geq 0$, there is a natural surjective map $\widetilde{\Psi}_k\colon\ C(S_g)_k \to \mathscr{G}^n(H)_k$. It is easy to check the compatibility of $\widetilde{\Psi}_\bullet$ with the face maps so that we get a map of simplicial sets. In order to prove that $\widetilde{\Psi}_\bullet$ is an isomorphism, we have to show that its fibers coincide with the orbits of the action of $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$ on $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$.

Since the Torelli group $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$ acts trivially on the set of n-marked graph decompositions of H_0, for all $k \geq 0$, the map $\widetilde{\Psi}_k$ factors through the map:

$$\Psi_k\colon\ C(S_{g,n})_k/\,\mathscr{T}_{g,n} \to \mathscr{G}^n(H_0)_k.$$

Let us then show that two simplices σ and σ' which define equivalent n-marked graph decompositions of H of rank $k+1$ are in the same $\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$-orbit. Let us choose a representative (G, Y, d) of the equivalence class and let us identify the two isomorphic n-marked graph decompositions of H with it.

Let $\sigma = \{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_k\}$ and $\sigma' = \{\gamma'_0, \ldots, \gamma'_k\}$. With suitable orientations, the s.c.c.'s in the simplices σ and σ' determine the same set of not necessarily linearly independent homology classes $\{a_0, \ldots, a_k\}$ of H_0. Let us then extend this set to a generating set $\{a_0, \ldots, a_s\}$ of H_0 with the following properties.

- Each vertex group of (G, Y, d) is naturally isomorphic to the homology of a subsurface of S_g, whose topological type is a punctured surface $S_{g',n'}$, with $2g'-2+n'>0$. Let us then define a standard set of generators $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_{g'}, b_{g'}, w_1, \ldots, w_{n'}\}$ for the group $H_1(S_{g',n'}, \mathbb{Z})$, where, for $n'=1$, we omit w_1, to be a set of generators which can be lifted to a standard set of generators for the fundamental group (cf. §1).

  The intersection $G_y \cap \{a_0, \ldots, a_s\}$ is then a standard set of generators for the group G_y, for every vertex y of Y.

- There is a natural epimorphism $r\colon\ \pi_1(G,Y)^{\mathrm{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow H_1(Y,\mathbb{Z})$. The set $\{a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_t}\}$ of elements in $\{a_0,\dots,a_s\}$ which do not belong to any vertex group of (G,Y,d) is then projected by r to a basis of $H_1(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $r(a_{i_j})$, for $j=1,\dots,t$, is the cycle associated to a circuit in the graph Y which does not pass twice for the same vertex. Moreover, it holds $\langle a_{i_j}, a_l\rangle = 0$, for all $j=1,\dots,t$ and $l>k$.

By the second property, the set of homology classes $\{a_{i_1},\dots,a_{i_t}\}$ can be lifted to a set of disjoint s.c.c.'s $\{\gamma_{i_1},\dots,\gamma_{i_t}\}$ (respectively, $\{\gamma'_{i_1},\dots,\gamma'_{i_t}\}$) such that, for $j=1,\dots,t$, each γ_{i_j} (respectively, each γ'_{i_j}) intersects a curve in σ (respectively, in σ') at most once. Let us extend these sets of disjoint s.c.c.'s to sets of s.c.c.'s $\{\gamma_0,\dots,\gamma_s\}$ and $\{\gamma'_0,\dots,\gamma'_s\}$ which moreover satisfy:

- for the associated integral homology classes, it holds $[\gamma_i]=[\gamma'_i]=a_i$, for $i=0,\dots,s$;
- it holds $|\gamma_i \cap_g \gamma_j| = |\gamma'_i \cap_g \gamma'_j| = |\langle a_i, a_j\rangle|$, for $i,j=0,\dots,s$, where "$\cap_g$" denotes geometric intersection;

For a given vertex y of Y, let S_y and S'_y be, respectively, the closures in $S_{g,n}$ of the connected components of $S_{g,n}\smallsetminus\sigma$ and $S_{g,n}\smallsetminus\sigma'$ whose homology groups map onto the vertex group G_y. There is then a homeomorphism $\phi_y\colon\ S_y \to S'_y$ such that it holds $\phi_y(\gamma_i\cap S_y) = \gamma'_i \cap S'_y$, for $i=0,\dots,s$.

Glueing along σ and σ' these homeomorphisms, for all connected components of $S_{g,n}\smallsetminus\sigma$ and $S_{g,n}\smallsetminus\sigma'$, we get a homeomorphism $\phi\colon\ S_{g,n}\to S_{g,n}$ such that $\phi(\gamma_i)=\gamma'_i$, for $i=0,\dots,s$. This homeomorphism then acts trivially on H_0 and is such that $\phi(\sigma)=\sigma'$.

□

The situation is a bit more complicated when we want to describe the finite simplicial sets $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, for $m\geq 2$. In this case, the set of equivalence classes of n-marked graph decompositions of H_m of rank $k+1$, in general, is not in bijective correspondence with the set of non-degenerate k-simplices of $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$.

The set $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_k$, for $k\geq 0$, is the quotient of the set $C^{(0)}(S_{g,n})_k \cong \mathscr{G}^n(H)_k$, described in Theorem 6.4, by the action of the congruence subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z},m)\cong\Gamma(m)/\mathscr{T}_{g,n}$ of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ and there is a natural surjective map $\mathscr{G}^n(H)_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{G}(H_m)_\bullet$, which is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z},m)$. Therefore, there is at least a natural surjective map of simplicial sets:

$$C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{G}^n(H_m)_\bullet.$$

Let then (G,Y,d) and (G',Y',d') be two n-marked graph decompositions of H_0 which induce, reducing modulo m, equivalent n-marked graph decompositions of H_m, i.e. such that there is an isomorphism of graphs $f\colon\ Y\to Y'$ with the property that $d(v)=d'(f(v))$, for all $v\in v(Y)$, and it holds $G_\sigma/m = G'_{f(\sigma)}/m$, for all simplices σ of Y, where, for a subgroup K of H_0, we denote by K/m its image via the natural epimorphism $H_0\twoheadrightarrow H_m$.

The corresponding equivalence classes $[G,Y,d]$ and $[G',Y',d']$ of n-marked graph decompositions of H_0 are then in the same $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z},m)$-orbit if and only if there is an $F\in\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z},m)$ such that $F(G_\sigma)=G'_{f(\sigma)}$. This happens if and only if there are standard symplectic basis $\{a_1,\dots,a_{2g}\}$ and $\{a'_1,\dots,a'_{2g}\}$ of H_0 with the following properties:

a) it holds $a_i \equiv a'_i \mod m$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2g$;

b) the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2g}\}$ (resp. $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_{2g}\}$) contains generators for the edge groups in a maximal set of linearly independent edge groups of (G, Y) (resp. of (G', Y'));

c) for every vertex $v \in Y$, there is a set of indices $\{i_1, \dots i_k\}$ such that it holds, simultaneously, $G_v \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2g}\} = \{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}\}$ and $G'_{f(v)} \cap \{a'_1, \dots, a'_{2g}\} = \{a'_{i_1}, \dots, a'_{i_k}\}$, and the projections of these intersections to the quotients $G_v/E(v)$ and $G'_{f(v)}/E(f(v))$, see vi.) Definition 6.1, generate these groups.

By property a), the assignment $a_i \mapsto a'_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2g$, defines an $F \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}, m)$. Properties b) and c) make sure that $F(G_\sigma) = G'_{f(\sigma)}$, for σ a vertex or an edge of Y.

Let, as above, (G, Y, d) and (G', Y', d') be two n-marked graph decompositions of H_0 which induce, reducing modulo m, equivalent n-marked graph decompositions of H_m, related by the isomorphism of graphs $f\colon Y \to Y'$. Reduction modulo m then defines, for every simplex σ of Y, epimorphisms $\mu_\sigma\colon G_\sigma \to G_\sigma/m$ and $\mu'_{f(\sigma)}\colon G'_{f(\sigma)} \to G'_{f(\sigma)}/m$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, where $G_\sigma/m = G'_{f(\sigma)}/m$ is a free primitive $\mathbb{Z}/m$-submodule of H_m.

Let us observe that, given a vertex v of Y, any basis for a maximal symplectic non-degenerate free $\mathbb{Z}/m$-submodule of $G_v/m = G'_{f(v)}/m$ can be lifted at the same time, via the epimorphisms μ_v and $\mu'_{f(v)}$, to bases for maximal symplectic non-degenerate free $\mathbb{Z}$-submodules of G_v and $G'_{f(v)}$, respectively.

On the other hand, given an edge e of Y, for $m > 3$, it is not necessarily the case that there is a generator for the edge group $G_e/m = G'_{f(e)}/m$ which can be lifted both to a generator of the edge group G_e, via the epimorphism μ_e, and to a generator of the edge group $G'_{f(e)}$, via the epimorphism $\mu'_{f(e)}$.

However, if the last-mentioned condition is fulfilled for all edges, then there are bases $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2g}\}$ and $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_{2g}\}$ of H_0 which satisfy properties a), b) and c) with respect to the two given n-marked graph decompositions of H_0. This is the motivation for the following definitions:

Definition 6.5. A framed, n-marked graph decomposition $(G, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ of H_m is the data of an n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d) of H_m and, for every unoriented edge e of Y, an epimorphism $\mu_e\colon \mathbb{Z} \to G_e$ (a *frame* for the edge group G_e).

Two framed, n-marked graph decompositions $(G, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ and $(G', Y', d', \{\mu'_e\})$ of H_m are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $f\colon Y \to Y'$ of the underlying graphs such that it holds $d'(f(v)) = d(v)$, for all $v \in v(Y)$, it holds $G_\sigma = G'_{f(\sigma)}$, for all simplices σ of Y, and it holds $\mu_{f(e)}(1) = \pm\mu_e(1)$, for every $e \in e(Y)$. We then denote by $[G, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}]$ the equivalence class of $(G, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$.

It is easy to check that, given a framed, n-marked graph decomposition $(G, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ of H_m, there is an n-marked graph decomposition $(\tilde{G}, Y, d)$ of H_0 such that its reduction modulo m is the n-marked graph decomposition (G, Y, d) and the corresponding natural epimorphisms of edge groups $\tilde{G}_e \twoheadrightarrow G_e$ define frames equivalent to the μ_e, for all $e \in e(Y)$.

Definition 6.6. Let $\mathscr{G}^n_*(H_m)_\bullet$ be the simplicial set whose semi-simplicial set of non-degenerate simplices is defined as follows.

i.) The set of k-simplices is the set of equivalence classes of framed, n-marked graph decompositions of H_m of rank $k+1$.

ii.) The $(k-1)$-faces of a k-simplex $[G,Y,d,\{\mu_e\}]$ are the equivalence classes of n-marked graph decompositions $(G',Y',d')\leq(G,Y,d)$ of rank k, endowed with the frames $\{\mu_e\}_{e\in e(Y')}$. Face maps are then defined in the same way as in Definition 6.3.

From the above discussion, it follows:

Theorem 6.7. *For all $m\geq 2$ and $g\geq 1$, there is a natural isomorphism of simplicial sets $\Psi^{(m)}_\bullet\colon C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet\to\mathscr{G}^n_*(H_m)_\bullet$.*

Remark 6.8. Let (G,Y,d) be an n-marked graph decomposition of H_m. For $m=2,3$, there is clearly, for each unoriented edge e of Y, only one equivalence class of frames $\mathbb{Z}\twoheadrightarrow G_e$. Therefore, for $m=2,3$, it holds $\mathscr{G}^n_*(H_m)_\bullet\equiv\mathscr{G}^n(H_m)_\bullet$.

The description given in Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.7 of the simplicial sets $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, for $g\geq 1$ and $m\neq 1$, can be made more precise, for $g\geq 2$ and $n=0$, restricting either to the complex of non-separating curves $C_0(S_g)$ or to the complex of separating curves $C_{sep}(S_g)$. The first is the sub-complex of $C(S_g)$ which consists of simplices σ such that $S_g\smallsetminus\sigma$ is connected. The second is the full sub-complex of $C(S_g)$ generated by 0-simplices γ such that $S_g\smallsetminus\gamma$ is disconnected.

In Proposition 6.7 of [23], the quotient $C^{(m)}_0(S_g)_\bullet := C_0(S_g)_\bullet/\Gamma(m)$ is described, for $m\geq 2$, as the complex of lax isotropic bases (cf. Definition 6.6 [23]). In our terminology, the simplices of $\mathscr{G}_*(H_m)$ contained in $C^{(m)}_0(S_g)_\bullet$ are determined by their framed edge groups. In particular, $C^{(m)}_0(S_g)_\bullet$ is the simplicial set associated to a genuine simplicial complex, equivalently, each simplex is determined by its vertices.

In [13], the quotient $C^{(0)}_{sep}(S_g)_\bullet := C_{sep}(S_g)_\bullet/\mathscr{T}_g$ is described by a complex of tree decompositions of H_0. The simplicial set $\mathscr{G}^n(H_0)_\bullet$ is a natural generalization of this notion, except that the complex of tree decompositions is directly realized as the simplicial complex associated to the poset of tree decompositions with the partial order $\leq$ defined above.

In [23] and [13], the above descriptions of the complexes $C^{(m)}_0(S_g)$ and $C^{(0)}_{sep}(S_g)$ are introduced in order to prove that, in analogy with the curve complexes $C_0(S_g)$ and $C_{sep}(S_g)$, they are highly connected. More precisely, they prove that $C^{(m)}_0(S_g)$ is $(g-2)$-connected, for $m\geq 2$, and that $C^{(0)}_{sep}(S_g)$ is $(g-3)$-connected, respectively.

Thus, it is a natural question whether similar results hold for the simplicial sets $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, for $m\neq 1$. From Proposition 3.3 [9] and Proposition 6.4 [23], it follows:

Proposition 6.9. *For $g\geq 2$ and $m\neq 1$, the simplicial set $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is simply connected and $(g-2)$-connected.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 in [9], the abelian level $\Gamma(m)$ of $\Gamma_{g,n}$, for $g \geq 2$ and $m \neq 1$, is generated by Dehn twists along separating maps, m-th powers of Dehn twists and cut pair maps. All these elements stabilize some simplex of the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$ and then some point of its geometric realization $|C(S_{g,n})|$. From Theorem 3 in [3], it follows that the quotient space $|C(S_{g,n})|/\Gamma(m)$ is simply connected for $g \geq 2$ and $m \neq 1$.

As A. Putman pointed out to me, from Proposition 6.4 [23] and the fact that, for $g \geq 2$, the $(g-1)$-skeleton of the geometric realization $|C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet|$ can be deformed, inside this complex, to the sub-complex $|C_0^{(m)}(S_g)|$, it follows that the simplicial set $C^{(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is also $(g-2)$-connected.

□

7 The congruence subgroup problem

In this section, we are going to connect all the topics of the previous sections with the congruence subgroup problem for the modular Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$. This is the problem of determining whether the tower of geometric levels $\{\Gamma^K\}_{K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}}$ is cofinal inside the tower of all finite index subgroups of $\Gamma_{g,n}$. This is known to be true in genus ≤ 2 (cf. [4] and [8]) but it is still an open problem in general. The solution proposed in [6] is flawed by a mistake in the proof of Theorem 5.4. However, the strategy elaborated there is still viable and will be explained shortly.

In [6], to every profinite completion $\Gamma'_{g,n}$ of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ satisfying some mild technical conditions (cf. Definition 5.2 [6]), is associated the $\Gamma'_{g,n}$-completion $C'(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ of the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$.

Let $\{\Gamma^\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda'}$ be the set of levels of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ obtained as the inverse images of open normal subgroups of $\Gamma'_{g,n}$, via the natural homomorphism $\Gamma_{g,n} \to \Gamma'_{g,n}$, and contained in an abelian level of order at least 3. Then, the $\Gamma'_{g,n}$-completion of the curve complex $C(S_{g,n})$ is defined to be the inverse limit of finite simplicial sets (cf. Remark 4.4):

$$C'(S_{g,n})_\bullet := \varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda'} C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet.$$

Thus, it is an object in the category of simplicial profinite sets.

In [24], Quick developed a homotopy theory for simplicial profinite sets, which turns out to be particularly useful here. For a simplicial profinite set $X_\bullet$, let us then denote by $\hat{\pi}_1(X_\bullet)$ its fundamental group as defined by Quick.

Let $\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ be the profinite completion of the Teichmüller group and let us define the profinite curve complex $\hat{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ to be the $\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}$-completion of the complex of curves. The following result, with a different formalism, was already proved in [6]:

Theorem 7.1. *For $2g-2+n > 0$ and $3g-3+n > 2$, the simplicial profinite set $\hat{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is simply connected.*

Proof. Let $\{\Gamma^\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be the set of all levels Γ^λ of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ which contain an abelian level of order at least 3. By definition, the simplicial profinite set $\hat{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is the inverse limit, over Λ, of the simplicial finite sets $C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet$. By Proposition 2.1 in [24] and the preceding discussion, there is then a natural isomorphism:

$$\hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet) \cong \varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hat{\pi}_1(C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet),$$

where $\hat{\pi}_1(C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet)$ is the profinite completion of the topological fundamental group of the simplicial set $C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet$.

For $3g-3+n>2$, the simplicial set $C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ is the quotient of a simply connected simplicial set by the simplicial action of Γ^λ. By Theorem 3 in Armstrong [3], there is then a natural epimorphism $\Gamma^\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet)$. Therefore, taking profinite completions, we get a natural epimorphism $\hat{\Gamma}^\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(C^\lambda(S_{g,n})_\bullet)$ and, passing to inverse limits, an epimorphism:

$$\varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hat{\Gamma}^\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet).$$

But now $\varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hat{\Gamma}^\lambda = \cap_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\hat{\Gamma}^\lambda = \{1\}$ and the theorem follows.

□

Let us now denote by $\check{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ the profinite completion of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ induced by the tower of geometric levels $\{\Gamma^K\}_{K\trianglelefteq\Pi_{g,n}}$ and by $\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ the $\check{\Gamma}_{g,n}$-completion of the complex of curves. They are called, respectively, the *procongruence Teichmüller group* and the *procongruence curve complex*. An immediate corollary of Theorem 7.1 is then:

Corollary 7.2. *The congruence subgroup property for the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ holds for all pairs (g,n) such that $g\leq k$ and $2g-2+n>0$, if and only if it holds $\hat{\pi}_1(\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet)=\{1\}$ for all $2\leq g\leq k$.*

Proof. One implication is immediate from Theorem 7.1. To prove the other, let us proceed by induction on k. The congruence subgroup property holds in genus ≤ 2. So, the statement of the corollary holds at least for $k=1,2$.

For $k\geq 3$, in order to complete the induction, we have to show that the assumptions, that the congruence subgroup property holds for all pairs (g',n) such that $g'<g$ and that $\hat{\pi}_1(\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet)=\{1\}$, imply the congruence subgroup property for all pairs (g,n).

Let σ be a simplex of the curve complex $C(S_g)$ and let us denote with the same letter its image in the simplicial profinite sets $\hat{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ and $\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet$. Each $\hat{\Gamma}_g$-orbit of simplices in $\hat{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ and $\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ contains a "discrete" simplex σ.

By Proposition 6.5 and Proposition 6.6 in [6], the assumption that the congruence subgroup property holds for all pairs (g',n) such that $g'<g$, implies that the stabilizer $\check{\Gamma}_\sigma$ for the action of $\check{\Gamma}_g$ on $\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ is the profinite completion of the discrete stabilizer Γ_σ. Therefore, the natural epimorphism $\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma \twoheadrightarrow \check{\Gamma}_\sigma$ is actually an isomorphism. This is then true for any simplex $\sigma\in\hat{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ and its image in $\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet$.

Thus, if $\Psi_g\colon\ \hat{\Gamma}_g\to\check{\Gamma}_g$ denotes the natural epimorphism, the profinite group $\ker\,\Psi_g$ acts freely on $\hat{C}(S_g)_\bullet$ with quotient naturally isomorphic to $\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet$. From Corollary 2.3 in [24], it follows that ker $\Psi_g \cong \hat{\pi}_1(\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet) = \{1\}$, i.e. the congruence subgroup property holds for the Teichmüller group Γ_g.

From Theorem 2 in [4], it then follows that the congruence subgroup property holds for all pairs (g, n), completing the induction step.

□

Remark 7.3. With the above notations, Kent (cf. Theorem 12 [14]) has recently proved that it holds $\hat{\pi}_1(\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet) = \{1\}$ for $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. However, the induction argument of Corollary 7.2 applies only for $n \leq 1$.

The main difficulty in trying to prove the simple connectivity of the procongruence curve complexes $\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, for $g > 2$, is that, for a given geometric level Γ^K of $\Gamma_{g,n}$, there is no combinatorial description of the finite simplicial set $C(S_{g,n})/\Gamma^K$. So, it is natural to try to remedy to this situation by replacing the tower of geometric levels $\{\Gamma^K\}_{K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}}$ of the Techmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ with the equivalent tower $\{\Gamma^{K,(m)}\}_{K \trianglelefteq \Pi_{g,n}}$ of Looijenga levels, for some $m \geq 2$. This was actually one of the main motivations to introduce these levels in the first place.

In Section 3, we saw that, for $m \geq 2$, the natural map $\overline{T}_{G_K} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ factors through a morphism $\iota_{K,(m)} \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ which, according to Theorem 3.5, is an embedding whenever K satisfies the hypotheses of ii.) Remarks 3.3.

The morphism $\iota_{K,(m)}$ then induces a map from the set of simplices of the simplicial set $C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, which describes the nerve of the D–M boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$, to the set of simplices of the simplicial set $C^{(m)}(S_K)_\bullet$, which describes the nerve of the D–M boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$. So, for every $k \geq 0$, to the morphism $\iota_{K,(m)}$, is associated a map:

$$\mathfrak{b}_k \colon C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_k \to \coprod_{h \geq 0} C^{(m)}(S_K)_h.$$

Taking into account (cf. Theorem 6.7) the natural isomorphism of $C^{(m)}(S_K)_\bullet$ with the semi-simplicial set $\mathscr{G}_*^{n_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ of framed, n_K-marked graph decompositions, where n_K is the number of punctures on S_K, the map $\mathfrak{b}_k$ is described as follows.

For a given k-simplex $\sigma \in C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, let $\tilde{\sigma} \in C(S_{g,n})$ be a lift to the curve complex. Then, to the simplex σ, we associate the simplex $\mathfrak{b}_k(\sigma)$ of $\mathscr{G}_*^{n_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ defined by the equivalence class of the framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition of the homology group $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ determined by the partition $S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma})$ of the Riemann surface S_K.

It is not clear whether the map $\mathfrak{b}_k$, even in case the morphism $\iota_{K,(m)}$ is an embedding, is injective and if its image can be described in a simple way. In fact, it is injective only if the image of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{K,(m)}$ intersects each G_K-invariant stratum of the abelian level $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ in a single irreducible component and this is not obvious.

The equivalence class $[D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}]$, of a framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ in the image of the map $\mathfrak{b}_k$, for some $k \geq 0$, admits a natural action of the group G_K (in the sense of Definition 7.4).

Conversely, the equivalence class $[D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}]$ of a framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, which admits G_K as a group of automorphisms, via the natural symplectic action of G_K on $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, parameterizes a stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ which is G_K-invariant and thus intersects the fixed point locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ for the action of G_K.

Therefore, the union of the images of the maps $\mathfrak{b}_k$, for $k \geq 0$, includes all framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition endowed with a natural action of G_K, if and only if, each G_K-invariant stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ intersects *all* connected components of the fixed point locus of G_K in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$, which is extremely unclear.

The above geometric picture suggests at least that the theory of graph decompositions can be used to "approximate" the nerve of Looijenga level structures in a sense to be made clear by the following definition and Corollary 7.9.

Definition 7.4. For $2g-2+n \geq 0$, let K be a finite index characteristic subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and let $p_K \colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$ be the associated covering with covering transformation group G_K. Let n_K be the number of punctures on the Riemann surface S_K. For an integer $m \geq 2$, let then $\mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ be the simplicial set whose semi-simplicial set of non-degenerate simplices is defined as follows.

i.) The set of k-simplices is the set of equivalence classes $[D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}]$ of framed, n_K-marked graph decompositions of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ endowed with a *natural action* of G_K on the graph Y such that $|e(Y)/G_K| = k+1$. By *natural action*, it is meant that, for all $v \in v(Y)$ and $f \in G_K$, it holds $D_{f(v)} = f(D_v)$ and $|d(f(v)| = |d(v)|$, and that, for all $e \in e(Y)$ and $f \in G_K$, it holds $\mu_{f(e)}(1) = \pm f(\mu_e(1))$.

ii.) The faces of a k-simplex $[D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}]$ are the $(k-1)$-simplices $[D', Y', d', \{\mu'_e\}]$ such that $(D', Y', d') \leq (D, Y, d)$ and it holds $\mu'_e(1) = \pm\mu_e(1)$, for all $e \in e(Y')$. A face map $\partial_\mathfrak{o} \colon [D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\}] \to [D', Y', d', \{\mu'_e\}]$ is then assigned to every G_K-orbit $\mathfrak{o}$ of unoriented edges of Y such that, for some representative $(D', Y', d', \{\mu'_e\})$ of the equivalence class, its edge groups are the edge groups associated to the edges of $Y \smallsetminus \mathfrak{o}$.

Remarks 7.5. For $2g-2+n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$, let K be a finite index characteristic subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9. Then, it holds:

i.) For a simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, let $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ be a framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ induced by the partition $S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$. Then, the vertex and edge groups of $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ are all distinct. Therefore, there is only one possible action of the group G_K on the graph Y compatible with its natural action on the homology group $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. Moreover, there are no non-trivial self-equivalences of $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$, so that its equivalence class is determined modulo unique isomorphisms of the underlying graphs of its representatives.

ii.) A framed n_K-marked graph decomposition $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, endowed with a natural action of G_K, determines a G_K-invariant stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$ which then parameterizes some curve endowed with a G_K-action. This implies that there is a conjugate G'_K of G_K inside $\Gamma(S_K)$ such that the n_K-marked graph decomposition $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ is induced by a partition $S_K \smallsetminus q^{-1}(\sigma)$, where $q \colon S_K \to S_K/G'_K$ is the quotient map, for some $\sigma \in C(S_K/G'_K)_\bullet$, and it holds $G'_K \equiv G_K \mod \Gamma(m)$. In particular, also the n_K-marked graph decomposition $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ has the properties stated in i.).

iii.) It follows from i.) and ii.) that all non-degenarate simplices of $\mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ are determined by their faces. In other words, $\mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ is the simplicial set associated to an ordered simplicial complex.

iv.) For $m \geq 2$, the covering $p_K \colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$ induces a map of finite simplicial sets

$$\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)} \colon C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \to \mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$$

and the group $N_{\mathrm{Sp}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))}(G_K)$ acts naturally on $\mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$, with its subgroup G_K acting trivially. Therefore, there is a natural action of the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,[n]}$ on this simplicial set, via the representation $\rho_{K,(m)}$ of Section 2, and the map $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ is $\Gamma_{g,[n]}/\Gamma^{K,(m)}$-equivariant.

By Theorem 2.2, the Looijenga level $\Gamma^{K,(m)}$ is contained in the geometric level Γ^K. Therefore, there is also a natural surjective map $\pi_K \colon C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow C^K(S_{g,n})_\bullet$. The maps $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ and π_K are related in the following way:

Theorem 7.6. *For $2g - 2 + n \geq 0$, let K be a finite index characteristic subgroup of $\Pi_{g,n}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9. Then, for $m \geq 2$, the natural surjective map $\pi_K \colon C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow C^K(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ factors through the natural surjective map $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)} \colon C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ and a surjective map $\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)} \twoheadrightarrow C^K(S_{g,n})_\bullet$.*

Proof. The map $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ is induced by the map $C(S_{g,n})_\bullet \to \mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ which associates to a simplex $\sigma \in C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ the equivalence class of the framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ induced by the decomposition $S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ of S_K, where $p_K \colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$ is the Galois covering associated to the subgroup K of $\Pi_{g,n}$.

By Remarks 7.5, the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9 on K imply that, if two framed, n_K-marked graph decompositions of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, endowed with a natural G_K-action, are equivalent, there is a unique isomorphism of the underlying graphs which induces the equivalence. Therefore, we can identify them by means of this isomorphism.

Let then be given two simplices $\sigma, \sigma' \in C(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ inducing the same framed, n_K-marked graph decomposition $(D, Y, d, \{\mu_e\})$ of $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$. In order to prove the theorem, we have to show that there is an $f \in Z_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ such that $f(p_K^{-1}(\sigma)) = p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$. By the short exact sequence (2) in Section 2, the image $\overline{f}$ of f, by the natural epimorphism $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{g,[n]}$, is then contained in the level Γ^K and is such that $\overline{f}(\sigma) = \sigma'$.

The n_K-marked graph Y determines the topological types of both $S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$ and $S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$ and then of $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \sigma$ and $S_{g,n} \smallsetminus \sigma'$ as well. So, at least, we know, that there is an element $f \in N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ such that $f(p_K^{-1}(\sigma)) = p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$.

Let $p_K^{-1}(\sigma) = \cup_{i=0}^k \gamma_i$ and $p_K^{-1}(\sigma') = \cup_{i=0}^k \gamma_i'$. Let us assume that γ_i and γ_i' define the same cycle in $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, for $i = 0, \ldots, k$. The hypothesis on the subgroup K implies that γ_i and γ_j define distinct homology classes whenever $i \neq j$ and then that the action of the group G_K on $H_1(\overline{S}_K, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ determines its action on the closed submanifolds $p_K^{-1}(\sigma)$

and $p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$. So, there is a unique G_K-equivariant homeomorphism $\delta\colon p_K^{-1}(\sigma) \to p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$ which sends γ_i to γ'_i, for $i = 0, \dots, k$. We claim that δ can be extended to a G_K-equivariant homeomorphism $\chi\colon S_K \to S_K$.

By Theorem 6.7, the simplices σ and σ' determine the same stratum of the abelian level structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(S_K)^{(m)}$. Therefore, there is an element ϕ in the abelian level $\Gamma(m)$ of $\Gamma(S_K)$ such that it holds $\phi(p_K^{-1}(\sigma)) = p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$ and then, by the above assumptions, such that $\phi(\gamma_i) = \gamma'_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $G'_K := \phi G_K \phi^{-1} < \Gamma(S_K)$ and let $\operatorname{inn}\phi\colon G_K \to G'_K$ be the isomorphism defined by the assignment $\alpha \mapsto \phi\alpha\phi^{-1}$. The homeomorphism ϕ then satisfies $\phi(\alpha\cdot x) = \operatorname{inn}\phi(\alpha)\cdot\phi(x)$, for all $x \in S_K$ and $\alpha \in G_K$. The group G'_K, like the group G_K, preserves the union of circles $p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$. More precisely, it holds $\alpha\cdot x = \operatorname{inn}\phi(\alpha)\cdot x$, for all $x \in p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$ and $\alpha \in G_K$.

Let $S'_K := S_K \smallsetminus p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$. By the definition of ϕ and G'_K, the natural maps $S'_K \to S'_K/G_K$ and $S'_K \to S'_K/G'_K$ are equivalent Galois étale coverings of homeomorphic surfaces. Thus, the actions of G_K and G'_K on S'_K are conjugated by a self-homeomorphism of S'_K, which lifts a homeomorphism $S'_K/G_K \to S'_K/G'_K$.

The G_K-covering $S_K \to S_K/G_K$ and the G'_K-covering $S_K \to S_K/G'_K$ are determined by their restrictions on the subspace $p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$, modulo the actions by conjugation, respectively, of the groups $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G_K)$ and $N_{\Gamma(S_K)}(G'_K)$ on S_K. Therefore, the identity map on the subspace $p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$ extends to a homeomorphism $\psi\colon S_K \to S_K$ which lifts a homeomorphism $S_K/G_K \to S_K/G'_K$ and satisfies $\psi(\alpha\cdot x) = \operatorname{inn}\phi(\alpha)\cdot\psi(x)$, for all $x \in S_K$ and $\alpha \in G_K$.

The composition $\chi := \psi^{-1}\circ\phi\colon S_K \to S_K$ is then a G_K-equivariant homeomorphism with the required property that $\chi(p_K^{-1}(\sigma)) = p_K^{-1}(\sigma')$.

□

Corollary 7.7. *For $2g-2+n \geq 0$, let $\{K\}$ be a cofinal system of finite index characteristic subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9 and let $\{p_K\colon S_K \to S_{g,n}\}$ be the associated set of coverings. For any fixed integer $m \geq 2$, there is then a continuous injective $\check{\Gamma}_{g,n}$-equivariant map of simplicial profinite sets:*

$$\prod_K \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{K,(m)}\colon \check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \hookrightarrow \prod_K \mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet.$$

Proof. The map $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{K,(m)}\colon \check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \to \mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet$ is defined by the composition of the natural projection $\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow C^{K,(m)}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$ with the map $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ associated to the covering $p_K\colon S_K \to S_{g,n}$. The corollary then follows from Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 7.6.

□

Remark 7.8. By Lemma 3.10, a cofinal system of finite index characteristic subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9 can be constructed from any given cofinal system of finite index characteristic subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$.

It is not clear whether the set $\{\mathscr{G}^{n_K}_{G_K}(H_1(\overline{S}_K,\mathbb{Z}/m))_\bullet\}$, where $\{K\}$ is a cofinal system of finite index characteristic subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and $m \geq 2$ a fixed integer, forms an inverse system of finite simplicial sets. This is partially fixed by the following formal consequence of Corollary 7.7:

Corollary 7.9. *For $2g-2+n \geq 0$, let $\{K\}$ be a cofinal system of finite index characteristic subgroups of $\Pi_{g,n}$ and let $\{p_K\colon S_K \to S_{g,n}\}$ be the associated set of coverings. Then, for any fixed integer $m \geq 2$, there is a cofinal sub-system $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of $\{K\}$ such that the set $\{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K_\lambda,(m)}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ can be organized in an inverse system of finite simplicial sets and there is a $\check{\Gamma}_{g,n}$-equivariant isomorphism of simplicial profinite sets:*

$$\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet \cong \varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K_\lambda,(m)}.$$

Remark 7.10. For K as in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9, by i.) Remarks 7.5, the simplicial set $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ can be realized as the simplicial set associated to an ordered simplicial complex. The same property then holds for the simplicial profinite set $\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$, that is to say, there is an ordered simplicial complex $\check{C}(S_{g,n})$ whose sets of k-simplices are profinite, for all $k \geq 0$, and whose associated simplicial set is $\check{C}(S_{g,n})_\bullet$.

By Corollary 7.9 and Corollary 7.2, the congruence subgroup property would follow from a positive answer to the following question in combinatorial topology:

Question 7.11. *For $g \geq 2$ and some integer $m \geq 2$, is there a cofinal system $\{K\}$ of finite index characteristic subgroups of Π_g such that the finite simplicial sets $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ are simply connected?*

Of course, it would be much easier to approach Question 7.11 if a more explicit description of the images of the maps $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ were available, for instance, if the maps $\mathfrak{g}_{K,(m)}$ were known to be surjective for some cofinal system $\{K\}$ and some integer $m \geq 2$.

If the answer to Question 7.11 is positive, by Corollary 7.9, there is a cofinal system $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of finite index characteristic subgroups of Π_g, refining the cofinal system $\{K\}$, such that $\{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K_\lambda,(m)}\}$ forms an inverse system of simply connected finite simplicial sets and, then, it holds:

$$\hat{\pi}_1(\check{C}(S_g)_\bullet) \cong \varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \hat{\pi}_1(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathfrak{g}_{K_\lambda,(m)}) = \{1\}.$$

For the moment, the only scarce evidence for a positive answer to Question 7.11 is provided by Proposition 6.9. Compared to the approach to the subgroup congruence problem of [6], this has at least the advantage that it can be dealt with standard techniques of combinatorial topology in the spirit of [13] and [22].

Acknowledgements

This work was begun during my stay at the Department of Mathematics of the University of Costa Rica in San José and completed during my stay at the Department of Mathematics of the University of los Andes in Bogotá. I thank both institutions for their support, financial and otherwise. I also thank A. Putman for suggesting the second part of Proposition 6.9.

References

[1] W. Abikoff. *The Real Analytic Theory of Teichmüller space.* Lecture Notes in Math. **820**, Springer-Verlag.

[2] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths. *Geometry of algebraic curves, II.* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **268**. Springer-Verlag (2011).

[3] M. A. Armstrong. *On the fundamental group of an orbit space.* Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **61** (1965), 639–646.

[4] M. Asada. *The faithfulness of the monodromy representations associated with certain families of algebraic curves.* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **159**, (2001), 123–147.

[5] J. Bertin, M. Romagny. *Champs de Hurwitz.* Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **125/126** (2011).

[6] M. Boggi. *Profinite Teichmüller theory.* Math. Nach. **279**, n. 9-10 (2006), 953–987.

[7] M. Boggi. *Fundamental groups of moduli stacks of stable curves of compact type.* Geometry & Topology **13** (2009), 247–276.

[8] M. Boggi. *The congruence subgroup property for the hyperelliptic Teichmüller modular group.* arXiv:0803.3841v3 (2011).

[9] M. Boggi, M. Pikaart. *Galois covers of moduli of curves.* Comp. Math. **120** (2000), 171–191.

[10] J. L. Brylinski. *Propriétés de ramification à l'infini du groupe modulaire de Teichmüller.* Ann. Sc. Éc. Norm. Sup. **12**, 4^e série, (1979), 295–333.

[11] G. González-Díez, W.J. Harvey. *Moduli of Riemann surfaces with symmetry.* Appeared in *Discrete Groups and Geometry.* Ed. by W.J. Harvey and C. Maclachlan. LMSLNS **173** Cambridge University press (1992), 75–93.

[12] N. V. Ivanov. *Subgroups of Teichmüller modular groups.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs **115**. AMS (1992).

[13] W. van der Kallen, E. Looijenga. *Spherical complexes attached to symplectic lattices.* arXiv:1001.0883v1 (2010).

[14] R. P. Kent IV. *Congruence kernels around affine curves.* arXiv:1109.1267v1 (2011).

[15] E. Looijenga. *Smooth Deligne-Mumford compactifications by means of Prym level structures.* J. Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 283–293.

[16] E. Looijenga. *Prym Representations of Mapping Class Groups*. Geometriae Dedicata **64** (1997), 69–83.

[17] S. Mochizuki. *The Local Pro-p Anabelian Geometry of Curves*. Invent. math. **138** (1999), 319–423.

[18] S. Mochizuki. *Extending families of curves over log regular schemes*. J. reine angew. Math. **511** (1999), 43–71.

[19] B. Noohi. *Fundamental groups of algebraic stacks*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **3**, n. 1 (2004), 69–103.

[20] B. Noohi. *Foundations of topological stacks I*. arXiv:math/0503247v1 (2005).

[21] M. Pikaart. *Moduli spaces of curves: stable cohomology and Galois covers*. Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht University (1997).

[22] A. Putman. *Cutting and pasting in the Torelli group*. Geometry & Topology **11** (2007), 829–865.

[23] A. Putman. *The second rational homology group of the moduli space of curves with level structure*. Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 1205–1234.

[24] G. Quick. *Profinite homotopy theory*. Documenta Mathematica **13** (2008), 585–612.

[25] J.P. Serre. *Arbres, Amalgames, SL_2*. Astérisque no. **46**, Soc. Math. France (1977).

Address: Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de los Andes,
Carrera 1^a N^o 18A-10, Bogotá, Colombia.
E–mail: marco.boggi@gmail.com